#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No(s).: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2014-11515

3009

December 10, 2013 DHS-SSPC- West

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen Lack

#### HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CF R 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing wa s held on December 10, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included for the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included for the Department Serve ices (Department) included for

#### ISSUE

Did the Department pr operly deny the Claimant's F ood Assist ance Prog ram (FAP) application due to a criminal disqualification?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 9, 2013, the Claimant applied for FAP.
- 2. On October 11, 2013, a Notice of Case Action was iss ued to the Claimant stating the FAP application was denied due to a criminal disqualification.
- 3. On or about October 11, 2013, t he Claimant filed a r equest for hearing contesting the Department's action.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Servic es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM). The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] i s established by the Food Stamp Act of 197 7, as amended, 7 US C 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations c ontained in 7 CFR 271. 1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, fugitive felons are not eligible for assistance. A fugitive felon is a person who: (1) is subject to arrest under an outstandi ng warrant arising from a felony charge against that person (this inc ludes persons charged with felony welfare fraud who fail to appear in court); (2) is subject t o arrest under an outstanding wa rrant for extradition arising from a criminal charge against that person in another jurisdiction; or (3) admits to being a fugitive felon. A Department of Human Services (DHS) match is one way an individual may be identified as a fugitive felon. Bridges will disqualify the individual as a fugitive felon as long as he or she is subject to arrest under an outstanding warrant. BEM 204 (7-1-2013) page 1. The DHS matc hes are automated computer data exchanges that occur routi nely with other agencies . T he Michigan State Polic e identifies clients who are currently fugitive felons. BAM 811(7-1-2013) pages 1-2.

In this case, the Eligibility Specialist credibly testified that an interface with the Michigan State Police identified the Claim ant as having a criminal disqualification. The print out of the Cas e Comments-Summary indic ates the DHS match indicated fugitive status. (Exhibit A, page 4) Accordingly, the D epartment denied the Claimant's October 9, 2013 FAP application.

The Claimant testified that the only thing he could think of that would cause this status is being behind in his c hild support, and not because he has been convicted of a crime. The Claimant explained that he has been disabled and not work ing since an injury that occurred in February 2012. The Claimant indicated he contacted local law enforcement and there is a bench warrant for the Claimant due to being behind in his c hild support. The Claimant is wor king with local law e nforcement and the attorney for his soc ial security disability case to clear up the bench warrant because th e Claimant has been unable to work due to his impairment and therefore unable to pay the child support.

The evidence establishes that at the time the Claimant's October 9, 2013 F AP application was processed, he had a bench warr ant. Accordingly, the determination to deny that application due to the DHS match ident ifying the Claimant as a fugitive felon must be upheld. When the bench warrant is resolved with loc al law enforcement, the Claimant may wish to reapply for FAP benefits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department po licy when it denied the Cla imant's FAP applic ation based on criminal disqualification.

## DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

/s/

Colleen Lack Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 12, 2013

Date Mailed: December 13, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Deci sion and Order or, if a ti mely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Rec onsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the req uest of a p arty within 30 days of the mailing date of this De cision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final deci sion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing d ecision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CL/hj

# 201411515/CL

