STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-11175 Issue Nos.: 2001, 4002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 5, 2013
County: Wayne (82-15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 5, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application for State Disability Assistance (SDA) and \boxtimes close Claimant's case for Medical Assistance (MA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant \boxtimes applied for SDA and \boxtimes received MA benefits.
- 2. On October 23, 2013, the Department
 - denied Claimant's application for SDA due to the Claimant's failure to provide verification and
 - Solution closed Claimant's MA case because her minor child had turned 18 years of age and had graduated high school.
- 3. On October 23, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decisions.

4. On October 29, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

At the hearing, the Department testified that Claimant's minor daughter had turned 18 and had graduated from high school. Therefore, Claimant was no longer qualified for MA. BEM 211 (July 2013).

The Department testified that Claimant failed to provide the verifications requested by the Department. BAM 130 (July 2013). Claimant argues that she did not have enough time to provide the verifications requested by the Department. Claimant did not request additional time from the Department and the Department had no knowledge of Claimant's inability to provide said verifications in a timely manner.

It should be noted that Claimant also requested a hearing concerning her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits. However, the Department did not take any negative action regarding Claimant's FAP and, therefore, there was no action to protest.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

\boxtimes	acted in accordance with Department	policy	when it	closed	Claimant's	MA	and
	denied Claimant's SDA application.	, ,					
	did not act in accordance with Departmer	nt polic	y when i	t.			
	\square failed to satisfy its burden of showing tha	t it acte	d in acc	ordance	with Depart	men	t
	policy when it .						

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is	
AFFIRMED. REVERSED. AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to to .	and REVERSED IN PART with respect
	Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 16, 2013

Date Mailed: December 16, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

