STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

					_	_	
IN	ΙН	- 1	MΔ	 ы	~ (n	⊢.

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-10942 1008; 3007 December 5, 2013 Hillsdale
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G	6. Fahie	
HEARING D	ECISION	
Following Claimant's request for a hearing Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 4 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 notice, a telephone hearing was held on The Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimar behalf of the Department of Human Service FIM, Kim Wilson, FIS, Mary Calligan, PATH, a	00.9 and 400.37; 7 C to 99.33; and 45 CF ursday, December 5, nt included the Claim s (Department) include	FR 273.15 to 273.18; R 205.10. After due 2013, from Lansing, ant. Participants on ded Elizabeth Welke,
ISSU	<u>JE</u>	
Did the Department properly \square deny Claibenefits \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:	imant's application [☑ reduce Claimant's
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? 		,
FINDINGS (OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as materi	. ,	erial, and substantia
 Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ received: ☒ FIP ☒ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP benefits. 	SDA CDC	□ DSS □ SSP
2. On September 24, 2013, the Departmen	t	

☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits ☐ closed

Claimant's case due to failure to participate in the PATH program.

- 3. On September 24, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On October 24, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

(DEW), and Department of Flaman Dervices Reference Fables Wandar (RT 1).
∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.
∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.31513180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department

administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and

children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1
119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10 an
400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.
The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is established by 20 CFR 416.2001209
and the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The Department administers the prograr
oursuant to MCL 400.10.

Additionally, the Claimant was an ongoing FIP recipient. The Department had referred the Claimant to the PATH program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. Department Exhibit 1. The Claimant was noncompliant with the PATH program on October 7, 2013, because she failed to attend and engage in the PATH program. Department Exhibit 2-3. The Department conducted a triage meeting on October 16, 2013, and it was determined that the Claimant did not have good cause for noncompliance with the PATH program. The Claimant was a no call/no show for her triage. Department Exhibit 4.

During the hearing, the Claimant stated that she had a hard time getting the verification for her excuse on October 7, 2013. She was admitted to the hospital on October 8, 2013, but was in the emergency room on the 7th of October 2013. She had written verification for the hospitalization, but not for the emergency room for the previous day. The Claimant provided the proof of her emergency room visit at the hearing. Claimant Exhibit a-c2. In addition, the Department Caseworker stated that the Claimant failed to submit the written verification required for a medical deferral to be sent to the Medical Review Team. Claimant Exhibit 21-22.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department's determination that the Claimant did not have good cause for PATH noncompliance with the PATH program is not reasonable. The Department has not established that it acted properly when it closed the Claimant's FIP benefits for noncompliance with the PATH program. This was the Claimant's 1st sanction where her FIP benefits would have been cancelled for 3 months. The Claimant did provide the required verification that she was medically unable to participate in PATH. The Claimant's sanction is reversed and her FIP case should be reopened and the PATH penalty removed from her FAP case. The Claimant should be sent back to PATH and the verification for a PATH medical deferral should be resent to the Claimant..

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

A failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it sanctioned the Claimant for not participating in the PATH program, but she had written verification that she was medically incapable of participating in PATH.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

 \boxtimes REVERSED.

- ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 - 1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for FAP and FIP removing the 1st Sanction for PATH for FIP, and the PATH sanction for FAP with the restoration of lost benefits for the contested time period, and reopening the Claimant's FIP case. The Claimant is to be referred back to PATH and a written medical deferral paperwork sent to the Claimant.
 - 2. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
 - 3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

Carmen G. Fahie

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Carmon II. Salvie

Date Signed: <u>12/13/2013</u>

Date Mailed: 12/13/2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

2014-10942/CGF

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pw

CC: