# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-10710

Issue No(s).: 2002

Case No.: Hearing Date:

December 19, 2013

County: Saginaw

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 19, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded her husband, behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department pr operly deny Claimant's application for Medicaid f or failure to timely return the requested verifications?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 9, 2013, the Depart ment mailed Claimant a Verification Check list with a due date of 10/21/13.
- On October 9, 2013, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action denying Claimant's application for Medi caid, indic ating Claimant's inc ome exceeded the program limits.
- 3. On October 23, 2013, Claimant's ubmitted a Request for a Hearing, contesting the counting of her husband's income.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual

(BEM), D epartment of Human Service es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

At the time of hearing, Claimant's only re maining issue was whether the Department had timely received proof of Claimant's life insurance policy. Claimant's husband indicated his wife had died and he had asked the insurance company to fax a copy of the insurance policy to the Department. The Department indicated they had not received a copy.

Claimant requested a week 's extension to re-contact the in surance company for proof that the insurance copy timely submitted a copy of the insurance policy. That proof was not submitted to date.

Therefore, the Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordinate with Department policy when it denied Claimant's application for MA for failure to timely return the requested verifications.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

It is SO ORDERED.

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 2, 2014

Date Mailed: January 3, 2014

**NOTICE OF APP EAL:** The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

VLA/las

