

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-10500
Issue No(s): 2010, 3002
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: December 4, 2013
County: Branch County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen Lack

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 4, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED] the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED] Eligibility Specialist, and [REDACTED] Assistance Payments Supervisor.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Adult Medical Program (AMP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) cases due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant's AMP and FAP program cases were due for redetermination in September 2013.
2. On August 13, 2013, a Redetermination form was issued to the Claimant listing a due date of September 4, 2013.
3. On September 19, 2013, the Department issued a Notice of Case Action to the Claimant stating the AMP and FAP cases would close effective October 1, 2013, because the Claimant failed to return the redetermination form and/or provide required proofs.

4. On October 29, 2013, the Claimant filed a request for hearing protesting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Michigan Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.

Additionally, a Claimant must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility, including completion of necessary forms, and must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews. BAM 105.

Verification is usually required upon application or redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. Verifications are considered timely if received by the date they are due. For FAP, the Department must allow a client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verification. The Department worker must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. BAM 130.

For FAP, if the client contacts the Department prior to the due date requesting an extension or assistance in obtaining verifications, the Department must assist them with the verifications but not grant an extension. The Department worker must explain to the client they will not be given an extension and their case will be denied once the due date is passed. Also, the Department worker shall explain their eligibility and it will be determined based on their compliance date if they return required verifications. BAM 130.

On August 13, 2013, a Redetermination form was issued to the Claimant listing a due date of September 4, 2013. (Exhibit A, page 8) The Redetermination form and the separate Redetermination Telephone Interview notice also advised the Claimant that an interview was scheduled for September 4, 2013. (Exhibit A, pages 7 and 8) The Eligibility Specialist testified the Redetermination form and any required proofs were not returned to the Department by the September 4, 2013 due date. The Eligibility Specialist also testified the September 4, 2013 interview was not completed. A Notice of Missed Interview was mailed to the Claimant September 4, 2013, stating it was the Claimant's responsibility to reschedule the interview before September 30, 2013. (Exhibit A, page 4) The Eligibility Specialist testified there was no attempt to reschedule the interview.

The Claimant testified that the forms were mailed to his mailing address, but he did not pick up his mail for a while. Accordingly, he did not know the Redetermination was due. The Claimant received the last notice, and testified he tried to call the worker to reschedule before September 30, 2013. The Claimant testified he never got a call back from the worker. However, the Claimant could not recall what date he called the worker to try to re-schedule the interview.

The evidence establishes that the Department mailed the forms to the Claimant at his mailing address. By the Claimant's own testimony; he did not pick up his mail for a while. It was uncontested that the Claimant did not return the Redetermination form and any required verifications by the September 4, 2013 due date. There is no evidence that any request for an extension or for assistance with returning the required Redetermination form and any required verifications was made to the Department before the September 4, 2013, due date.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's AMP and FAP cases.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

/s/
Colleen Lack
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 6, 2013

Date Mailed: December 9, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CL/hj

cc:

