STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201355035 Issue No.: 2009; 4031

Case No.: Hearing Date:

October 10, 2013

County: Newaygo County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice G. Spodarek

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held. Claimant personally appeared and te stified. The DHS was represented by

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) pr operly deny Claimant 's Medic al Assistance (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On 2-21-13, Claimant applied for MA and SDA with the Michigan Department of Human Services (DHS).
- Claimant applied for 3 months of retro MA.
- 3. On 6-12-13, the MRT denied.
- 4. On 6-14-13, the DHS issued notice.
- 5. On 6-24-13, Claimant filed a hearing request.
- 6. On 8-21-13, the Stat e Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied Claimant. Pursuant to the Claimant's request to hold the record open for the submission of new and additional medical documentation, on 11-26-13 SHRT once again denied Claimant.

- 7. Claimant has an SSI application pending with the Socia I Security Administration (SSA).
- 8. Claimant is a 50 -year-old male standing 5'10" tall and weig hing 230 pounds. Claimant's BMI is 33 classifying him as obese under the medical index.
- 9. Claimant does not hav e an alcohol/drug abuse problem or history. Claimant smoked a pack of cigarettes a day most of his life. Most of the medical evidence indicates a pack a day as recently as January 2013. Claimant testified that he quit "12 months ago" from the October 2013 hearing date. Claim ant was not credible. A number of Claimant's complaints are connected to nicotine addiction and tobacco abuse.
- 10. Claimant has a driver's license and can drive an automobile.
- 11. Claimant has a ged.
- 12. Claimant is not current ly working. Claimant last worked in 2007. Claimant collected unemployment until 2009. Claim ant has not worked s ince his unemployment stopped. Clai mant's work history is semi-skilled/skilled work.
- 13. Claimant alleges disability on t he basis of multiple impairm ents. At application, Claimant listed COPD , pulmonary nodules, hy pertension, diabetes, hepatitis C, brain injury, anxiety. Medical evidence also indicates nodules in the mouth, wheezing, chest pain.
- 14. Claimant has been diagnosed with bipolar and t akes an antian xiety medication.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administ rative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibili ty Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Program

Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Statutory authority for the SDA program states in part:

(b) A person with a phy sical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disability or blindness, Claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in T itle XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance Claimants pay their medical expenses. Mich igan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in shequential order:

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not dis abled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education,

- and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in deat h? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analys is continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client hav e the Residual Func tional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step consider s the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is a pproved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application Claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by Claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laborator y or clinical medical reports that corroborate Claim ant's claims or Cla imant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

- ... Medical reports should include --
- (1) Medical history.

- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which s how that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Sy mptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Y our statements alone are not enough to establish t hat there is a physic all or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinic al diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicates pecific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientat ion, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, phy siological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically accept—able laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic—techniques include chemical tes—ts, el ectrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X -rays), and psychologic al tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sour ces may also help us to understand how y our impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or ment al impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less t han 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiologi cal, or psyc hological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laborat ory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

It is noted that Congress removed obesity from the Listing of Impai rments shortly after the removal of drug addition and alcoholism. This removal reflects the view that there is a strong behavioral component to obesity. Thus, obesity in-and-of itself is not sufficient to show statutory disability.

Applying the sequential analys is herein, Claimant is not ine ligible at the first step as Claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a de min imus standard. Ruling a ny ambiguities in Claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that Claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analys is continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands of the work done by Claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

In this cas e, this ALJ finds that Claimant cannot return to past relevant work on the basis of the medical evidence. The analysis continues.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applie s the biographical data of the applic ant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

After a careful review of the credible and s ubstantial evidence on the whole record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant m eets statutory disability on the basis of 20 CFR 416.922.

In reaching this conclusion it is noted that Cla imant's combination of impairments are significantly severe as to overall to create a situation that is disabling, while none of the impairment(s) alone would be disabling.

It is noted that at review, the issues and considerations found at 20 CFR 416.930 will play a significant role as to whether Claimant is entitled to continuing disability. Specifically, whether Claimant has followed to he recommended treatment recommendations from his doctors will be a ssessed. Claimant's continue discontinue disability of the recommendations will be assessed. Claimant has also been advised to lose weight as he is obese, and many of his problems are highly correlated with obesity. Other recommendations will be taken into consideration.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department's actions were incorrect.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is **REVERSED**.

The department is ORDERED to make a determination if Claimant meets the non-medical criteria for MA and SDA programs. If so, the department is ORDERED to open an MA and SDA case from the date of application, including any retromonths if eligible, and issue supplemental benefits to Claimant.

The department is ORDERED to review t his case in one year from the date of this Decision and Order.

Janice G. Spodarek
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan Director

for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>December 3, 2013</u> Date Mailed: <u>December 3, 2013</u> **NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re

consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JGS/hj

