

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

████████████████████
████████████████████
████████████████████

Reg. No.: 2013 68980
Issue No.: 2004
Case No.: ██████████
Hearing Date: December 5, 2013
County: Wayne (76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 5, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included ██████████ the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative. The Claimant did not appear. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ██████████ Medical Contact Worker.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Family Independence Program (FIP)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Medical Program (AMP)? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Food Assistance Program (FAP)? | <input type="checkbox"/> State Disability Assistance (SDA)? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical Assistance (MA)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Development and Care (CDC)? |

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for received:
 FIP FAP MA AMP SDA CDC
benefits.

2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by January 14, 2013. The Department did not provide a copy of the Medical Determination Verification Checklist to the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative [REDACTED]
3. The Application for Medical Assistance and retroactive Medicaid application submitted October 24, 2012 advised the Department that the Claimant was represented by [REDACTED] and also advised the Department that the Claimant was applying for Medical Assistance for himself and his minor child, [REDACTED] Claimant Exhibit A
4. The Department did not process the application to determine whether the Claimant was eligible as a Group 2 Caretaker (Parent) of said child.
5. On March 26, 2013, the Department
 - denied Claimant's application.
 - closed Claimant's case.
 - reduced Claimant's benefits.
4. On March 26, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of its action.
5. On August 28, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a timely hearing request, protesting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, in this case the evidence produced at the hearing indicated that the Department could not establish whether the Verification Checklist requesting medical documents in support of the Medical Assistance application was sent to the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative. The application when filed on behalf of the Claimant provided notice to the Department that the Claimant was represented by an authorized hearing representative. Claimant Exhibit A. Based on the evidence it is determined that the Department had an obligation to provide notice to the authorized hearing representative for any documents or medical documentation requested of the Claimant.

Additionally a review of the application for medical assistance indicates that the Department failed to process the application to determine whether the Claimant and his daughter were eligible for medical assistance based upon the Group 2 Caretaker (Parent) requirements.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department

- did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to send the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative the medical Verification Checklist and denied the application for failure to verify, and failed to process the Medical Assistance Application to determine if the Claimant was eligible as a Group 2 Caretaker Adult (Parent).


DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. The Department shall initiate reregistration of the Claimant's Application for Medical Assistance dated October 24, 2012 and determine eligibility of the Claimant for Medical Assistance.
2. The Department shall provide copies of all requests for verifications and notices to both the Claimant and the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative [REDACTED]


Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 19, 2013

Date Mailed: December 19, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]