# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-66709

Issue No.: 5004

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 21, 2013

County: Ingham

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, November 21, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Elizabeth Wizorek, FIS, and Patricia Dutard, ES.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly process Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with shelter emergency?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On July 30, 2013, Claimant applied for SER assistance with shelter emergency.
- 2. On August 6, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a SER Decision Notice.
- 3. On August 16, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's SER decision.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich

Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049. Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, the Claimant applied for SER for assistance with a rental deposit on July 30, 2013. Subsequently, the Department Caseworker spoke to the Claimant and his landlord giving a verbal approval, but never sent a written approval. On August 6, 2013, the Claimant called regarding copay amounts and reported to the Department Caseworker that he had moved in. On August 6, 2013, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a denial notice that he was no longer eligible for SER because his emergency had been resolved. ERM 103. ERG 303.

During the hearing, the Claimant testified that he had not received an approval letter. The Department Caseworker confirmed that an approval letter had not been sent to the Claimant about the SER procedure with the Claimant's copay amount and the Department's copay amount. The Claimant testified that he has moved in, but the deposit is still outstanding and is owed to the Landlord. If the deposit is not paid to the Landlord, then the Claimant will lose his apartment. Therefore, the Department has not met its burden that it followed policy by not sending the SER approval letter.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

idid not act in accordance with Department policy when it issued its SER Decision Notice.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

| Accordingly | the Depart  | ment's SFR | decision is [ | AFFIRMED | <b>⊠</b> REVERSED. |
|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|----------|--------------------|
| ,, an igiy, | tile Depart |            |               |          |                    |

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
  - 1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for SER by sending an SER approval letter with the Claimant's co-pay amount. The Claimant has paid his co-pay amount, but the Department's co-pay amount is still outstanding.
  - 2. Provide the Claimant with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.
  - 3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

Cormon J. Lahie

Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 12/10/2013

Date Mailed: <u>12/10/2013</u>

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

cc: