STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-63378 Issue No.: 2009; 4009

Case No.:

Hearing Date: December 11, 2013

County: Kent

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law J udge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 t o 431.250; and 45 CF R 205.10. After due notice, a telephon e hearing was held on December 11, 2013, from Lansing, Michi gan. Participa nts on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and his authorized hearings representative. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included Family Independence Manager and Eligibility Specialist. The Department was represented at the hearing by Assistant Atty. Gen.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (the Department) proper ly deny Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA-P), retroactive Medical Assistance (retro MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On April 29, 2013, Claimant filed an appl ication for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On July 18, 2013, the Medic al Revi ew Team denied Claimant's application stating that Claimant could perform other work.
- 3. On July 29, 2013, the Department case worker sent Claimant notice that his application was denied.

- 4. On August 7, 2013, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the Department's negative action.
- 5. On September 26, 2013, the State Hearing Re view Team again denied Claimant's application.
- 6. Claimant is a 52-year-old man whose birth date is Claimant is 6'0" tall and weighs 400 pounds. Claim ant is a high school gr aduate and has an Associate's degree in Accounting/Comput er Operations. Claim ant is able to read, write and does have basic math skills.
- 7. Claimant last worked in Febr uary 2009 as a quality technician, checking processes on the assembly line. Claimant has also worked in a metal fabrication factory, as a post office mail handler, as a press operator and as a licensed insurance agent.
- 8. Claimant alleges as di sabling impairments: hypertension, neuropathy, neck and back pain, sleep apnea, coronary artery disease, sciatica and depression.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or Department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to deter mine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past wor k, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impair ments do not signific antly limit physica I or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

- ...Medical reports should include -
- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (suc h as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment ; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other a cceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an indiv idual can do des pite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decis ion about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other ev idence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regula tions require that s everal considerations be analyzed in s equential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analys is of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the cli ent's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible f or MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, Claimant is not engaged in subst antial gainful activity and has not worked since **2009**. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The subjective evidence on the record indica tes that Claimant testified that he lives alone in a house and he is single with no children under 18. Claimant has no income but does receive the Adult Medical Program, Food Assistance Program benefits and State Disability Assistance benefits. Claimant does have a driv er's license and drives 2 to 3 times per week to doctors' appointment s. Claimant does cook TV dinners in the microwave. Claimant grocery shops one time per week and he needs help because he has pain. Claimant does dishes. Claimant reads and tinkers with computers as a hobby. Claimant watches television 4 to 5 hours per day and uses the computer three days per week for approximately 30 minutes. Claimant testified that he can stand for 5 to 10 minutes at a time and sit for 10 to 15 minutes at a time. He can walk less than a quarter of a block. Claimant st ated that he can shower and dr ess himself, but not squat, tie his shoes, touch his toes and bend at the wais t. His level of pain on a scale from 1 to 10 without medication is a 10 and with medic ation is a 5 to a 7. Claimant testified he ha s

numbness and pain in his hands and arm s, and pain in his legs and feet. Heaviest weight he can carry is 5 pounds.

The objective medic all evidence on the record indicates that the Social Security Administration denied Claimant's application for RSDI July 23, 2013 stating that the Claimant is capable of maki ng a successful adjus tment of the work t hat exist in significant numbers in the national economy. The voc ational expert testified that given all of the Claimant's fact ors he should be able to perfo rm the requirements that represent light, semi-skilled occ upations s uch as a file clerk, a lau ndry clerk and expediter clerk. Social Security Admini stration Administrative Law Judge determined that Claimant can perform the full range of sedentary work and is not disabled under the framework of SSR 00 – 4P. An April 11, 2013, residual functional capacity evaluation indicates that Claimant was 51 years of age and weighed 436 pounds. He was 6'0" tall. His resting heart rate was 60 BPM. His blood pressure was 150/95, he was ambidextrous. His gait was slow and guar ded. He pr esented with mild antalgia and valgus knee alignment, page 109. He presented as morbidly obese. His bilateral ankles were swollen but the left la rger than the right. His left shoe was untied and loosened position. His skin was darker the left lower leg, compared to the right. He presented without his knee alignment, page 110. Claimant's re sidual functional capacity report indicates that Claimant can walk 500 feet wit hout interruption, can sit one hour without interruption and can stand for 20 minutes without interruptions. In a total eight hour work day he c an sit up to four hours, stand about two hours and walk for two hours. He required a job that permits changing positions at will, page 113. He can lift 10 pounds occasionally and 20 pounds regularly but nev er lift 50 pounds or more, page 114 he appeared to be functioning within the sedentary level of work defined by the United States Department of Labor, page 115.

At Step 2, Claimant has the burden of proof of establis hing that he has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that Claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. in multiple areas of his Claimant has reports of pain body; however, there are no corresponding clinic al findings that suppor t the reports of symptoms and limitations made by the Claimant. There are no labor atory or x-ray findings listed in the file. The clinical impression is that Claimant is stable. There is no medical finding that Claimant has any muscle atrophy or trauma, abnormality or injury that is consistent with a deteriorating condition. In short, Claimant has restricted himself from tasks associated with occupational functioning based upon his reports of pain (symptoms) rather than medical findings. Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that Claimant has met the evidentiary burden of proof can be made. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the medical record is insu fficient to establish that Claimant has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations ar e assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrict ions of activities of daily living, social functioning; c oncentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerat e increased mental demands associated wit h competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

There is insufficient objective medical/ps ychiatric evidence in the record indicating Claimant suffers severe mental limitations. There is **no** mental residual functional capacity assessment in the record. There is in sufficient evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so sever eithat it would prevent Claimant from working at any job. Claimant was or iented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant was able to answer all of the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. The evidentiar y record is insufficient to find that Claimant suffers a severely restrictive mental impair ment. For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant has failed to meet his burden of proof at Step 2. Claimant must be denied benefits at this step based upon his failure to meet the evidentiary burden.

If Claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of Claima nt's condition does not give ris e to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

If Claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny him again at Step 4 based upon hi s ability to perform his past relevant work. There is no evidence upon which this Administrative Law Judge could base a finding that Claimant is unable to perform work in which he has engaged in, in the past. Therefore, if Claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, he would be denied again at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the Department to establish that Claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles lik e docket files, ledgers, and small tools.

Although a sedentary job is defined as one whic h involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this categor y when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Claimant has submitted insufficient objecti ve medical evidence that he lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior employment or that he is physically unable to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of him. Claimant's activities of daily living do not appear to be very limited and he should be able to perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Claimant ha s failed to provide the necessary objective medical evidence to establish that he has a severe impairment or combination of impairments which prevent him from performing any level of work for a period of 12 mont hs. The Claimant's testimony as to his limitations indicates that he should be able to perform light or sedentary work.

There is insufficient objective medical/ps ychiatric evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfun ction that is so sever e that it would prevent Claimant from working at any job. Claimant was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant's complaints of pain, while profound and credible, are out medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to of proportion to the objective Claimant's ability to perform wo rk. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the rec ord does not establish that Claimant has no residual functional capacity. Clai mant is dis qualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that he has not established by objective medical evidence that he cannot perform light or sedentary work even with his impairments. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, an individual (age 52), with a high school education and an unskilled work history who is limited to light work is not considered disabled.

The Department's Program Elig ibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for casework ers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disable diperson or age 65 or older. BEM, Item 261, p. 1. Because the Claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that Claimant is unable to work for a per iod exceeding 90 days, the Claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with Department policy when it determined that Claim ant was not eligible to receive Medical Assistance and/or State Disability Assistance.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the Department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's application for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits. The Claimant should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work even with his impairments. The Department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Landis

Y. Lain

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>December 20, 2013</u>

Date Mailed: December 26, 2013

NOTICE OF APPE AL: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LYL/tb

