## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEI ARTIMERT OF HOMA	N OLIVIOLO		
IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-39053 1006; 3006 December 10, 2013 Genesee #6	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie			
HEARING DECISION			
Upon a hearing request by the Department of establish an overissuance (OI) of benefits to Reundersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.941, and in 273.18, 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250, 45 CFR 99.1 due notice, a telephone hearing was held on We Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the RS.	espondent, this rown MCL 400.9, 400 accordance with to 99.33, and 45 addresday, Decen	matter is before the 0.43a, and 24.201, et h 7 CFR 273.15 to 5 CFR 205.10. After other 10, 2013, from	
Respondent did not appear. This matter havi and due notice having been provided to Res Respondent's absence in accordance with Department Administrative Manual (BAM) 725, pp. 13-17.	pondent, the he	earing was held in	
ISSUE			
Did Respondent receive an OI of  ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP) ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP) ☐ benefits?	_	Assistance (SDA) nent and Care (CDC)	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
<ol> <li>Respondent was a recipient of</li></ol>	FAP □ SDA □	CDC benefits from	

the Department.

2.	The Department alleges Respondent received a ☑ FIP ☑ FAP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC  OI during the period August 1, 2011, through September 30, 2011, due to ☑ Department's error ☐ Respondent's error.
3.	The Department alleges that Respondent received a OI that is still due and owing to the Department.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Adm	artment policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges hinistrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual M), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, 00.3101 to .3131.
is es is in Dep	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] stablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and applemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The artment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP truant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.
Act, Fam	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare MCL 400.1119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the illy Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 Mich Admin Code, R 400.31513180.
and Child and 104- adm	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the d Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department inisters the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and dren pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.50015020.

Additionally, the Respondent signed a Redetermination Application, DHS 1010, on March 1, 2011. Department Exhibit 1-4. The Respondent reported her employment income to her Work First worker. Department Exhibit 5-6. On March 28, 2012, the Department received a wage match for the Respondent for two (2) employers. Department Exhibit 7. On July 9, 2012, the Respondent provided check stubs and verification of a hire date of May 4, 2011. Department Exhibit 8-15. On March 26,

2013, the Department sent the Respondent a Notice of Overissuance for the FIP program of and the FAP program of Department Exhibit 40-51.
As a result, the Respondent received an overissuance of FAP and FIP benefits during the contested time period of August 1, 2011 through September 30, 2011 that she was
not entitled to due to Department error. The Respondent received an overissuance of that the Department is required to recoup. BAM 105, 115, 220, 600, 705, and
725. BEM 500, 501, 515, 518, 519, 520, 550, 554, and 556.
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $
DECISION AND ORDER
Accordingly, the Department is
□ AFFIRMED.
☐ The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection procedures for a      ☐ OI in accordance with Department policy.
Carmon L. Salvie
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director

Date Signed: 12/27/2013

Date Mailed: 12/27/2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Department of Human Services

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

## 2013-39053/CGF

 Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CGF/pw

