STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: <u>2014</u>723

Issue No.: Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 31, 2013

County: Kalamazoo

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 31, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included herself. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included C/M and PATH C/M

ISSUE

Did the Department properly sanction Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits.
- On September 19, 2013, Claimant was sent a Notice of Non-Compliance (DHS-2444) which scheduled a triage meeting for September 26, 2013. Claimant was also sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) stating that the Family Independence Program (FIP) would be sanctioned.
- 3. On September 25, 2013, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.
- 4. On September 26, 2013, Claimant did not attend the scheduled meeting. The Department determined there was no good cause for Claimant's failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A Failure to Meet Employment and/or Self-Sufficiency Related Requirements: FIP (2013) and Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233B Failure to Meet Employment Requirements: FAP (2013) provide the Department requirements and procedures relevant to this hearing.

In this case, the Department alleges that Claimant was non-compliant because she falsified three job search log entries and failed to attend the scheduled triage meeting. Claimant testified that for two of the disputed job search log entries she gave paperwork to a friend to take to the potential employer. Regarding the third disputed job search log entry Claimant testified that she does not know what happened and does not remember anything about that specific contact. Claimant also testified that she does not remember getting the Notice of Non-Compliance (DHS-2444) which scheduled the triage meeting she missed.

Missing the triage meeting is a non-compliance in accordance with the criterion in BEM 233A. Good cause for non-compliance is defined as a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. A claim of good cause must be verified and documented. Claimant testified that she does not remember getting the Notice of Non-Compliance (DHS-2444). It is noted that Claimant: had signed documents stating she understood the process and requirements of participating in PATH; has been through the sanction process one time previously; and submitted this request for hearing about a case sanction the day before the scheduled triage meeting.

The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. *Stacey v Sankovich*, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); *Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange*, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). Combining this legal premise with the facts immediately above, provide absolutely no basis for a conclusion that Claimant had good cause for failing to attend the September 26, 2013 triage meeting. The evidenced in this record supports the Department's sanction of Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case and no further analysis is required to uphold the Department.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it sanctioned Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) for failure to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED.**

Gary F. Heisler
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/07/2013

Date Mailed: <u>11/08/2013</u>

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

GFH/sw

