

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 20145968
Issue No(s): 3013
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: November 19, 2013
County: DHS-SSPC-WEST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a three-way telephone hearing was held on November 19, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant, [REDACTED], from her home in [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], [REDACTED], Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to failure to verify student enrollment?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On September 4, 2013, Claimant applied for FAP benefits and received expedited benefits.
2. On September 4, 2013, the Department mailed a verification checklist to Claimant that requested she verify her wages, her student status, her rental expenses and the balance in two bank accounts. The verifications were to be returned by September 16, 2013.
3. Claimant returned verification of her wages and one bank account by September 16, 2013, but did not verify her student status and did not verify the second bank account.

4. On September 26, 2013, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action terminating her FAP benefits as of October 1, 2013 because Claimant failed to verify her rent, her second bank account, and her student enrollment.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

When an applicant requests FAP benefits, the Department policy (BAM 117) provides, "The purpose of FAP expedited service is to help the neediest clients quickly. Certain processing requirements and actions (identified in this item) are deferred due to the shortened standard of promptness. Expedited Service has a shorter standard of promptness and fewer verification requirements to determine FAP eligibility than are normally required." If an applicant does not provide all required verifications, they will "not be issued benefits for subsequent months until the FAP group provides the waived verification or completes a redetermination."


Claimant was obligated to verify her wages, assets, rental expenses and student status as part of the process for determining her initial and ongoing eligibility for the FAP program. BAM 105. Her failure to provide the verification rendered her ineligible for benefits after September 30, 2013. See BAM 117.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it terminated Claimant's FAP benefits on September 26, 2013, effective October 1, 2013.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


Darryl T. Johnson
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 21, 2013

Date Mailed: November 21, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DTJ/aca

cc:

