

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 20141714
Issue No.: 3000
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: November 5, 2013
County: Macomb (20)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 5, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED] (Claimant). Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED] (Eligibility Specialist).

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant received FAP benefits with a monthly allotment of [REDACTED].
2. Effective October 1, 2013, the Department implemented a mass update change of the standard deduction from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED].
3. On September 14, 2013, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which reduced Claimant's monthly FAP allotment to [REDACTED].
4. Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FAP reduction and, during the hearing, he stated that he disagreed with the Department's calculation of his FAP allotment.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

For FAP purposes, all earned and unearned income available to an applicant or recipient is countable. BEM 500. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for compensation or profit. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Independence Program (FIP), State Disability Assistance (SDA), Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Security Benefits (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemployment Compensation Benefits (UCB), Adult Medical Program (AMP), alimony, and child support payments. BEM 500.

The Department determines a group's benefits for a month based, in part, on a prospective income determination. BEM 505. A best estimate of income expected to be received by the group during a specific month is determined and used in the budget computation. BEM 505. The Department will obtain input from the client whenever possible to establish this best estimate amount. BEM 505. The client's understanding of how income is estimated reinforces reporting requirements and makes the client an active partner in the financial determination process. BEM 505.

A group's financial eligibility and monthly benefit amount are determined using actual income (income that was already received) and prospected income amounts (not received but expected). BEM 505. Only countable income is included in the determination; see BEM 500.

Each source of income is converted to a standard monthly amount, unless a full month's income will not be received. BEM 505. The Department will determine budgetable income using countable, available income for the benefit month being processed. BEM 505.

The Department's computer system known as "Bridges" will compute the average monthly income (and convert weekly and every other week amounts) based on the amounts and the number of months entered. BEM 505.

When the income amount changes, the Department will adjust the amount(s) being budgeted for future pay periods. BEM 505. All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. BEM 505. The Department will convert stable and fluctuating income that is received more often than monthly to a standard monthly amount. BEM 505.

BEM 550 describes income budgeting policy. When the Department budgets the amount of FAP for a group, it first determines whether there is a senior¹, disabled person² or a veteran member of that group. BEM 550. A non-categorically eligible Senior/Disabled/Veteran (SDV) FAP group³ must have income below the net income limits. BEM 550. A non-categorically eligible, non-SDV FAP group must have income below the gross and net income limits. BEM 550.

The Department will budget the entire amount of earned and unearned countable income. BEM 550. Gross countable earned income is reduced by a 20% earned income deduction. BEM 550. **Every case is allowed the standard deduction shown in RFT 255. BEM 550.** The Department documents income budgeting on either a manually-calculated or an automated FAP worksheet. BEM 550.

Here, Claimant requested a hearing because his FAP benefits were reduced. The Department reduced his FAP benefits due to a mass update which changed the standard deduction from [REDACTED] to [REDACTED]. This, according to the Department, resulted in a reduction for all FAP recipients. Claimant contends, for the first time at the hearing, that the Department miscalculated his unearned income amount. Claimant contends that somewhere he saw that the Department recorded his monthly SSI as [REDACTED], but Claimant only receives [REDACTED] which is [REDACTED] per month for SSI plus [REDACTED] for quarterly SSP payments. The Department takes the position that it properly determined Claimant's monthly FAP amount and that it properly calculated his monthly income as [REDACTED] when it determined his FAP allotment.

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). The weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997). Moreover, it is for the fact-finder to gauge the demeanor and veracity of the witnesses who appear before him, as best he is able. See, e.g., *Caldwell v Fox*,

¹ A "senior" is a person at least 60 years old. BEM 550 p 1.

² A "disabled" person who receives one of the following: (1) a federal, state or local public disability retirement pension and the disability is considered permanent under the Social Security Act; (2) Medicaid program which requires a disability determination by MRT or Social Security Administration; (3) Railroad Retirement and is eligible for Medicare or meets the Social Security disability criteria (4) a person who receives or has been certified and awaiting their initial payment for one of the following: (a) Social Security disability or blindness benefits; (b) Supplemental Security Income (SSI), based on disability or blindness, even if based on presumptive eligibility.

³ An SDV FAP group is one which has an SDV member. BEM 550 p 1.

394 Mich 401, 407; 231 NW2d 46 (1975); *Zeeland Farm Services, Inc v JBL Enterprises, Inc*, 219 Mich App 190, 195; 555 NW2d 733 (1996).

Here, the record reveals that the Department is correct and Claimant's contention that the Department used [REDACTED] as his unearned income from SSI is without merit. The record reveals that Claimant was receiving monthly unearned income in the amount of [REDACTED] at the time relevant to this matter which is reduced by a standard deduction of [REDACTED], which leaves an adjusted gross income of [REDACTED]. An excess shelter deduction of [REDACTED] was subtracted from Claimant's adjusted gross income of [REDACTED] resulting in Claimant receiving [REDACTED] in net income.

A claimant with a group size of 1 has a maximum net income limit of [REDACTED]. RFT 250. Claimant's net income of [REDACTED] along with his group size of 1 results in a FAP allotment of [REDACTED] per the FAP issuance tables. RFT 260.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly determined Claimant's monthly FAP allotment as [REDACTED].

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/
C. Adam Purnell
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 6, 2013

Date Mailed: November 7, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAP/aca

cc:

