STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEI ARTIMERT OF HOMA	III OLIVIOLO	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014 6648 3008 November 18, 2013 Oakland County DHS 02
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris		
HEARING DECISION		
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 18, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ES.		
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:		
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		ogram (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony		
Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ received: ☐FIP ☒FAP ☐MA ☐ AMP ☐SD benefits.	A □CDC	

2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by August 1, 2013 to complete his Redetermination..

3.	On September 1, 2013, the Department
	denied Claimant's application.
	⊠ closed Claimant's case.
	reduced Claimant's benefits.

- 4. On August 30, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of its action.
- 5. On October 11, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, in this case the evidence presented at the hearing indicated that the Department did not receive any information with regard to the Redetermination which it sent to the Claimant which was required to be completed August 1, 2013. Although, the Claimant testified that he faxed the redetermination to the Department pursuant to a Notice of Missed Interview, dated August 1, 2013, the Claimant did not provide sufficient proof of what he faxed to the Department on August 29, 2013 the day before the Claimant's FAP case closed. Further, the Department representative credibly testified that she did not receive any such information on that day. BAM 210 provides that food assistance benefits **stop** at the end of the benefit. **unless** the redetermination is completed and a new benefit. Is certified.

Based upon the evidence provided at the hearing by the Claimant and the Department, it is determined that the Department properly closed the Claimant's food assistance for failure of the Claimant to complete the Redetermination in a timely manner. BAM 130 (9/1/13); and BAM 210, pp. 2 (10/1/13) The Claimant may reapply for food assistance at any time.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it Close the Claimant's Food Assistance case for failure to complete the redetermination.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is:

AFFIRMED.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 21, 2013

Date Mailed: November 21, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
 of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

2014-6648/LMF

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

