STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2014-6407

 Issue No(s).:
 3008

 Case No.:
 Image: County in the image is a constrained of the image.

 Hearing Date:
 November 19, 2013

 County:
 Kent

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen Lack

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CF R 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 19, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included the Claimant. Family Independence Manager, and the Claimant included the Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case because requested verifications were not returned?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant had an open FAP case.
- 2. On September 4, 2013, the Claimant reported updated information regarding bank accounts and rent as part of a Redetermination.
- 3. On September 10, 2013, a Verification Checklist was iss ued to the Claimant stating what proofs were needed by the September 20, 2013 due date. (Exhibit 1, pages 9-10)
- 4. On September 30, 2013, the Department issued a Notice of Case Action to the Claimant stating the FAP case would clos e effect ive October 1, 2013, because requested verifications were not returned. (Exhibit 1, pages 4-7)

5. On October 11, 2013, t he Claimant filed a request for hearing protesting the Department's action on the FAP case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Servic es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] i s established by the Food Stamp Act of 197 7, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations c ontained in 7 CFR 271. 1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, a Claimant must cooperate wit h the local office in determining initia I and ongoing eligibility, including c ompletion of necessary forms, and must completely an d truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews. BAM 105.

Verification is usually required upon application or redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. Verifications are considered timely if received by the date they are due. For F AP, the Department must allow a client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. A negative action notice is sent when the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or the time period given has elaps ed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130.

For FAP, if the client c ontacts the Department prior to the due date requesting an extension or assistance in obtaining verifications, the Department must assist them with the verifications but not grant an extens ion. The Department worker must explain to the client they will not be given an extens ion and their case will be denied once the due date is pas sed. Also, the Department worker s hall explain their eligib ility and it will b e determined based on their compliance date if they return required verifications. BAM 130.

In this case, the Claimant reported updated information regarding bank accounts and rent as part of a Redetermination. (Exhibit 1, pages 8, 13-15, and 17) Additional documentation was needed. Accordingly, on September 10, 2013, a Verification Checklist was issued to the Claimant stating what proofs were needed and how to obtain them by the September 20, 2013 due date. (Exhibit 1, pages 9-10) There was no evidence that the Claimant provided the requested verifications by the due date.

The Claimant testified that ever ything has changed since then and he had hoped t o clear it all up and get it taken care of wit h this hearing. Ho wever, the scope of administrative hearings is limited. The Claimant's more recent changes cannot be considered when reviewing the Department 's September 30, 2013, determination to close the FAP cas e because re quested verifications were not r eturned. If he has not already done so, the Claimant may wish to re-apply for FAP and pr ovide the Department with current information and verifications.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant 's FAP cas e because requested verifications were not returned.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Collain Lad

Colleen Lack Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 26, 2013

Date Mailed: November 26, 2013

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

• Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;

- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CL/las

