# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-5233 2006; 3008 November 14, 2013 Gladwin
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Ha	rris	
HEARING DECIS	SION	
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99 notice, a telephone hearing was held on Novemb Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department) includes (FIS),	and 400.37; 7 CF 0.33; and 45 CFF er 14, 2013, fron . Participa	R 273.15 to 273.18; R 205.10. After due n Lansing, Michigan. ants on behalf of the

### **ISSUES**

Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claima for:	ant's application 🔲 close Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☑ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)? ☐ State SSI Payments (SSP)?
Did the Department properly  deny Claima for:	ant's application 🛛 close Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)? ☐ State SSI Payments (SSP)?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

	Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial ence on the whole record, finds as material fact:
1.	Claimant ☑ applied for: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☑ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ DSS ☐ SSP benefits.
2.	Claimant ☑ received: ☐ FIP ☑ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ DSS ☐ SSP benefits.
3.	On June 1, 2013, the Department $\boxtimes$ denied Claimant's application for MA due to the Claimant's failure to submit the required verifications.
4.	On October 1, 2013, the Department $\boxtimes$ closed Claimant's FAP case due to the Claimant's failure to submit the required verifications.
5.	On September 18, 2013, the Department sent Claimant its decision.
6.	On September 30, 2013 Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Adm	artment policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges hinistrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual M), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Resp USC Age	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ncy) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, 00.3101 to .3131.
is es is in Dep	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] stablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and applemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The artment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP uant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.
Seco 1008 Inde	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social urity Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 3.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family pendence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 105.

☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.31513180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.50015020.
☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.
☐ The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is established by 20 CFR 416.20012099 and the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10.
It is not contested that the Claimant submitted information on his and that the Department subsequently requested a complete copy of the original by leaving a message on the Claimant's . When asked, the FIS conceded that no DHS-3503, Verification Checklist was sent to the Claimant requesting an original copy of the felt that it was unnecessary as one was sent on the being the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist that the Claimant had responded to on the complete copy of the sent to the Claimant requesting an original copy of the sen

Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 130 pp. 2, 3, provides that the Department worker tell the Claimant what verification is required, how to obtain it and the due date by using a DHS-3503 Verification Checklist to request verification. In this case, the Department did not do that. The Claimant must obtain required verification, but the Department's worker must assist if they need and request help. If neither the Claimant nor the Department's worker can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, the Department's worker is to use the best available information. A collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization or agency to verify information from the Claimant. It might be necessary when documentation is not available or when available evidence needs clarification.

In this case, the Department concedes that no DHS-3503, Verification Checklist requesting an original copy of the annuity was sent to the Claimant. Furthermore, testimony at the hearing indicates that an original copy is no longer available and that the asset is not available to the Claimant. As the Department did not send a DHS-3503, Verification Checklist to the Claimant, requesting an original copy of the annuity, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department was not acting in accordance with its policy.

As such, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department has not met its burden of establishing that it was acting in accordance with policy when taking action to close the Claimant's FAP case and to deny the Claimant's application for MA, for failure to submit the required verification.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department  $\boxtimes$  did not act in accordance with Department policy when it took action to close the Claimant's FAP case and to deny the Claimant's application for MA.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED.

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
  - 1. Re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for MA back to his application date and re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP back to the closure date, and
  - 2. Issue the Claimant any supplement he may thereafter be due.

/s/

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/18/13

Date Mailed: 11/20/13

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### SEH/tb

