# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-4338

Issue No.: <u>3008</u>

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 12, 2013

County: Oakland (02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 12, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above-named Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) included Research Specialist.

# <u>ISSUE</u>

The issue is whether DHS properly terminated Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility due to Claimant's failure to verify income.

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FAP benefit recipient.
- Claimant received ongoing rental income.
- Claimant failed to report the rental income to DHS.
- 4. On 13, DHS mailed a Verification Checklist (VCL) to Claimant requesting proof of Claimant's rental income.
- 5. The VCL due date was

- 6. Claimant failed to verify the requested rental income information.
- 7. On 2017/13, DHS initiated termination of Claimant's FAP eligibility, effective /2013.
- 8. On /13, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FAP benefit termination.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015. Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) and Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Claimant requested a hearing to dispute a FAP benefit termination. It was not disputed that the termination occurred due to Claimant's failure to verify rental income.

Claimant testified that she did not think the income had to be reported or verified because her expenses are as much or more than any rental income that she makes. DHS may allow an offset to rental income, but the income must still be reported and verified. DHS is to verify all non-excluded income. BEM 500 (7/2013), p. 12. Claimant's income is other rental income (see BEM 504) which must be verified.

For all programs, DHS is to use the DHS-3503, Verification Checklist to request verification. BAM 130 (7/2013), pp. 2-3. DHS must give clients at least ten days to submit verifications. *Id.*, p. 3 DHS must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. *Id.*, p. 2.For FAP benefits, DHS is to send a negative action notice when:

- the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or
- the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it.

*Id.*, p. 5.

It was not disputed that DHS mailed Claimant a VCL (Exhibit 1) and that Claimant failed to respond to the VCL prior to case closure. Claimant finally brought verification of rental income to DHS on the date of hearing; the date of hearing was too late for Claimant to comply with the VCL. It is found that DHS properly terminated Claimant's FAP eligibility due to a failure to verify income.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS properly terminated Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility, effective /2013. The actions taken by DHS are **AFFIRMED**.

Christian Gardocki
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>11/22/2013</u>

Date Mailed: 11/22/2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

