STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2014-1267

Issue No(s).: 3003

Case No.: Hearing Date:

October 31, 2013

County: Calhoun

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen Lack

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on October 31, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participant s on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly determine t he Claimant's monthly Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) allotment?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
- 2. On September 14, 2013, the Department issued a Notice of Case Action to the Claimant stating the monthly FAP allotment would decrease to \$ effective October 1, 2013. (Exhibit A, pages 1-3)
- On September 27, 2013, the Claimant filed a hear ing request, contesting the amount of the monthly F AP allotment and inc luded updated shelter expens e verification. (Exhibit 1, pages 1-7)
- 4. The Claimant's rent increased effective October 1, 2013. (Exhibit A, page 5)

 On September 30, 2013, the Department issued a Notice of Case Action to the Claimant stating the monthly F AP allotment would increase to \$ ef fective October 1, 2013. (Exhibit A, pages 4-6)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Hum (BEM), Department of Hum (BEM), Department of Human Servic es Refere Department of Human Services Emergency Relief	an Services Bridges Eligibility Manual ence Tables Manual (RFT), and
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation USC 601 to 679c. The Depar tment (formerly known administers FIP pursuant to MC L 400.10 R 400.3101 to .3131.	on Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 nown as the Family Independence
☑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [fo rmerly is established by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as is implemented by the feder all regulations contain Department (formerly known as the Family Indepursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R	amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and ned in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The pendence Agency) administers FAP
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es ta Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is im 1008.59. The Department of Human Services Independence Agency) administers the MA progra 400.105.	nplemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to (formerly known as the Family
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is estandard estandar	•
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program Act, MCL 400.1119b. The Department of Huma Family Independence Agency) administers the SE and Mich Admin Code, R 400.31513180.	an Services (formerly known as the
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) progrand XX of the Social Security Ac t, 42 USC 601-61 Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Res ponsibility and Work Opport 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 C administers the program purs uant to MCL 400.10 children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Adm	19, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; tunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department and provides services to adults and

☐ The State Emergency Re lief (SER) program is estab lished by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The SE R program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049.
☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is establishhed by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.
☐ The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is established by 20 CFR 416.20012099 and the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10.
Additionally, in calculating the FAP budge t, the entire amount of earned and unearned countable income is budgeted. Every case is allowed the standard deduction shown in RFT 255. BEM 550 For F AP, the gross amount of c urrent SSA-issued SSI is counted as unearned inc ome. BEM 503 Some ty pes of FIP and RCA penalties, require budgeting of cash assistance for FAP, ev en when not received. See BEM 233A, BEM 233C, BEM 255 BEM 503 and BEM 550.
On September 14, 2013, the Department issued a Notice of Case Action to the Claimant stating the monthly FAP allotment would decrease to \$ effective October 1, 2013. (Exhibit A, pages 1-3) However, on September 27, 2013, the Claimant filed a hearing request, contesting the amount of the monthly FAP allotment. The Claimant asserted that the income budgeted was incorrect and included updated shelter expense verification. (Exhibit 1, pages 1-7) The Claimant's rent increased effective October 1, 2013. (Exhibit A, page 5)
The Department reviewed the Claimant's F AP case and updated the budgeted shelter expense based on the verification of the increase in the Claimant's rent. The Department also determined that the income was correctly calculated, \$ //month gross SSI, \$ month from the quarterly supplement check of \$ and \$ from the FIP sanction against a FAP group member. Additionally, there was a statewide reduction in the heat and utility standard beginning October 1, 2013. On September 30, 2013, the Department issued a Notice of Ca se Action to the Claimant stating the monthly FAP allotment would in crease to \$ effective October 1, 2013. (Exhibit A, pages 4-6)
Regarding the income calculat ion, the Claimant did not contest the SSI amounts of \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
The Claimant noted that this Eligibility Specialist is the first one to listen to her about an additional \$ that is being paid in hous ing costs due to a recoupment. (Claimant

Testimony) The Eligibility Spec ialist just I earned of this exp ense and is obtaining verification from the housing commission as well as clarification as to whether this expense can be counted in the FAP budget. (Eligibility Specialist Testimony)

The Claimant also stated it was hard to live on the prior FAP allotment and it will be next to impossible now that the allotment has decreased by about \$ However, the evidence shows that the Department has correctly determined, re-determined and is still gathering additional information to re-consider the Claimant's FAP allotment that went into effect October 1, 2013, based on the information received as it becomes available.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordanc e with Department policy when it determined the Claimant's monthly FAP allotment.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED.

Colleen Lack
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Colleen Fact

Date Signed: November 6, 2013

Date Mailed: November 6, 2013

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CL/sw

