STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No:201369431Issue No:2014Case No:1000Hearing Date:November 20, 2013Cass County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 20, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Cla imant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Depar tment) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's applic ation close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:

j	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?				
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?				

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant	applied for benefits for:	received benefits for:
--------------	---------------------------	------------------------

Family Independence Program (FIP).	🔀 Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
Food Assistance Program (FAP).	State Disability Assistance (SDA).
Medical Assistance (MA).	Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 3. On September 6, 2013 , the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)

notice of the	🗌 denial.	🛛 closure.	reduction.
---------------	-----------	------------	------------

4. On September 11, 2013 , Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing re quest, protesting the
☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bri dges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, *et seq*., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015.

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opport unity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implement ed by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and childr en pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the claimant wants an exception to the DHS

policy requirements based on a financial hardship.

Administrative law judges have no author ity to make decisions on constitutional grounds, overrule statutes, overrule promule gated regulations or overrule or make exceptions to the agency policy set out in the program manuals. Delegation of Hearing Authority, July 13, 2011 per PA 1939, section 9, act 280.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La income, the Department income properly improperly improperly

denied Claimant's application

reduced Claimant's benefits

 \boxtimes closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department indicated did act properly in the did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's \boxtimes AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

/s/

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 25, 2013

Date Mailed: November 26, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

• A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant;
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322



