STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 201358018

Issue No: 2009

Case No:

Hearing Date: November 13, 2013

Ottawa County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Cla imant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on November 13, 2013. Claimant appeared and provide d testimony on his/her behalf. Participant s on behalf of the D epartment of Human Services (Department) included and and and and and are the control of the D.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA-P/on January 31, 2013, was denied on April 10 2013 per BEM 260/, and requested a hearing on July 9, 2013.
- 2. Vocational factors: A ge 48, 12 th grade education, and unskilled work experience.
- Claimant has been working part time off and on for a candle making manufacturer 16 to 24 hours a week having work ed yesterday eight hours.; She admits that she has the capa city to wor k full-time if job so offered. Otherwise, the claimant has been on unemploy ment benefits off and on.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program

201358018/WAS

pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

(BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainfu I activit y by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whet her you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment (s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educat ion and work experien ce. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your clai m further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the anal ysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform oth er work according to the guidelines set

forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpar t P, Appendix 2, Sec tions 200.00-204.00? If yes, the anal ysis ends and the c lient is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

The claimant had the burden of proof to establish disability in accordance with steps 1-4 above... 20CFR 416.912 (a). The burden of proof shifts to the DHS at Step 5... 20CFR 416.960 (c)(2).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable medical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical verification sources are licensed physicians, osteopaths, or certified psychologists ...20CFR 416.913(a)

...The medical evidence...must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effe cts of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Step 1

If you are working a nd the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regar dless of your medical c ondition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

The evidence of record established that the claimant has engaged in substantial gainful activity part-time for the last three years. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at step one.

Substantial gainful activity is work activity that involves doing significant mental/physical activity. Work may be substantial even if it is done on a part-time basis or if you do less, get paid less, or have less responsibility than when y ou work ed before --20 CF R 416.972(a)

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at step one.

Step 2

... [The record must show a sev ere impairment] which signific antly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Basic work activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

...If you do not have any impair ment or comb ination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, the erefore, not disabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

In addition claimant has receiv ed unemployment compensation benefits when laid off from her job. In order to receive unemployment compensation benefits under the federal regulations, a person must be monetarily elig ible. They must be totally or partially unemployed. They must have an approv able j ob s eparation. Also they must meet certain legal requirements which include being phy sically and mentally able to work, being available for seeking work, and filing a weekly claim for benefits on a timely basis. This administrative law judge finds that claimant has not establish that she has a severe impairment or combination of impairments which has lasted or will last the durational requirement of Department of 12 months or more or have or have kept her from working for a period of 12 months or more.

The Claim ant has not sustai ned her burden of proof to es tablish a severe physical impairment in combination, instead of a non-severe impairment, for the required one year continuous duration.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation would also be required to stop at Step 2.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at St ep 1 and also a t 2 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P denial is **UPHELD** and so ORDERED.

<u>/s/</u>

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 14, 2013

Date Mailed: November 14, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

WAS/hj

201358018/WAS

