#### STATE OF MICHIGAN

# MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 201357097

Issue No: 2009 Case No:

Hearing Date: November 6, 2013

Eaton County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law J udge pursuant to MC L 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 t o 431.250; and 45 CF R 205.10. After due notice, a telephon e hearing was held on November 6, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA-P on No vember 13, 2012, was denied o n April 29, 2013 per BEM 260/, and requested a hearing on July 8, 2013.
- 2. Vocational factors: Age 30, 12 <sup>th</sup> grade education, and unskilled work experience.
- Last employment ended in August 2013.
- 4. Disabling symptoms: M edication controls mental dis order otherwise he has anxiety, paranoia, panic attacks and fear; right knee pain when climbing stairs.
- 5. Alleged disabling medical disor der(s): Multiple ment al/physical impairments in combination, including herbs palsy.
- 6. Medical reports of exams state the claimant on:

December 22, 2011: Had a ment al residual functional capac ity assessment of not significantly limited for no eviden ce of limitations in understanding, remembering and carry out simple instructions (not detail information). (DHS Exhibit A, Pages 157 158).

December 29, 2011: Had a GAF score of 40. (DHS Exhibit A, Page 156)

July 2, 2012: Had a GAF score of 60. (DHS Exhibit A, Page 79).

August 2, 2012: Was negative for back pain and joint pain; that he was negative for depression, memory loss and was not nervous//anxious (DHS Exhibit A, Page 47).

August 2, 2012: Had a normal range of motion; and that he has normal strength (DHS Exhibit A, Page 40).

September 12, 2012: Had a DHS score of 50 (DHS Exhibit A, Page 65).

7. State Hearing Rev iew Team decision dated Septem ber 2, 2013 states the Claimant 's impairments do not meet/ equal a Social Security listing for the required duration. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 165).

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point

in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cl ient is i neligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the anal ysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform oth er work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpar t P, Appendix 2, Sec tions 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

The claimant had the burden of proof to establish disability in accordance with steps 1-4 above... 20CFR 416.912 (a). The burden of proof shifts to the DHS at Step 5... 20CFR 416.960 (c)(2).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical verification sources are licensed physicians, osteopaths, or certified psychologists ...20CFR 416.913(a)

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effe cts of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

#### Step 1

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your m edical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

The evidence of record established that the claimant has not engaged in substantial gainful activity since August, 2013. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to continue to the next step.

#### Step 2

... [The re cord must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

**Basic w ork activities.** When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment:
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

**Non-severe impairment(s)**. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

The medic al reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, treatment and progress reports. They do not provide medical assessments of Claimant's basic work limitations for the required duration. Stated differently, the medical reports do not establish whether the Claimant is impaired minimally, mildly, moderately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely, as defined above for a one year continuous duration.

The claimants disabling symptoms (Findings of Fact #4) are inconsiste nt with the objective medical evidence of record (Findings of Fact #6).

...Your sy mptoms, i ncluding pain, will be determined t o diminish your capacity for basic work activities...to the extent that your alleged functional limitations and restrictions due to symptoms, such as pain, can reasonably be accepted as consistent with the objective medical evidence and other evidence. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(4).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which s how that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean t hat we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

Claimant had GAF s cores of 40 in December 2011, 60 in J uly 2012 and 50 in September 2012. 40 and 50 are considered a severe mental impairment with occupational-functioning. And 60 is cons idered a moderate (not sev ere) mental impairment with occupational-functioning DSM IV (4<sup>th</sup> edition-revised).

The medic all evidence of record has not established the Claimant's abnormal mental findings have persisted on a regular and continuing basis on repeated examinations for a reasonable presumption to be made that a severe mental impairment has lasted or is expected to last for at least a one year continuous duration.

#### 201357097/WAS

The medic al reports (Findings of Fact #6) show that Claimant's examinations were within normal limitations; that his impairments were minimal to moderate (not severe); and that his condition is stable (not deteriorating).

The Claimant has not sustained his bur den of proof to establis h a seve re physical/mental impairment in combination, instead of a non-severe impairment, for the required one year continuous duration.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at Step 2.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at Step 2 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P denial is **UPHELD** and so ORDERED.

/s/

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 12, 2013

Date Mailed: November 12, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

#### 201357097/WAS

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# WAS/hj

