STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 201345558 2009

October 1, 2013 Macomb County DHS #36

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Janice G. Spodarek

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for r a hearing. After du e notice, a telephone hearing was held. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS) included Ms.

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) properly deny Claimant 's Medic al Assistance (MA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On 2-7-13, Claimant applied for MA with the Michigan Department of Human Services (DHS).
- 2. Claimant did not apply for retro MA.
- 3. On 4-10-13, the MRT denied.
- 4. On 4-11-13, the DHS issued notice.
- 5. On 5-2-13, Claimant filed a hearing request.
- 6. On 7-18-13, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied Claimant.
- 7. Claimant has an SSI application pending with t he Soc ial Security Administration (SSA).

201345558/JGS

- 8. Claimant is a 55-year-old male, standing 5'9" tall and weighing 224 pounds. Claimants BMI is 33, classifying Claimant as obese.
- 9. Claimant does not hav e an alcohol/drug abuse pr oblem or history. Claimant does not smoke.
- 10. Claimant has a driver's license but does not drive due to vertigo.
- 11. Claimant has as BA in business management.
- 12. Claimant is not currently workin g. Claimant last work ed in h is own lawn care business. Claimant's work history is skilled.
- 13. Claimant alle ges dis ability on t he basis of meniere's diseas e, BPPV, migraines, fatigue, vertigo, hypertension.
- 14. Claimant's physician has completed statements in 2013 indicating that Claimant "...is unable to work due to server vertigo."
- 15. Claimant has had abnormal ey e exam s, of unknown etiolo gy. Claimant testified that he has been informed by his physician that 'there is a disconnect between my brain and vision.'
- 16. Claimant's complete diagnosis is unexplained.
- 17. Claimant's severe vertigo c auses extreme dizziness, s weating, in ability to be in an upright posit ion, inability to focus and read. Claimant's extreme fatigue is of unknown etiology.
- 18. Claimant's symptoms interfere with his ability to engage in activities of daily living.
- 19. Claimant needs further testing.
- 20. Claimant was a credible witness.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

In order to receive M A benefits based upon disability or blindness, Claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in T itle XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901).

201345558/JGS

DHS, being authorized to make such disabilit y determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, whic h is a program designated to help public assistance Claimants pay their medical expenses. Mich igan administers the federal Medica id program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically deter minable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that severa I considerat ions be analyzed in sequential order:

...We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residu al functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educat ion and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CF R 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful act ivity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and Iaboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings s pecified for the listed impairment that meets the duration require ment? If no, the analysis

continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

- 4. Can the client do the forme r work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, t he client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analys is c ontinues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience t o see if the clien t can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application Claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

...You must provide medical evid ence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by Claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate Claim ant's claims or Claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

...Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (suc h as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are di sabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings wh ich show that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...The me dical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d). Medical findings cons ist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Sy mptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that ther e is a p hysical or ment al impairment.
- (b) Signs are anatomical, physiologi cal, or psychological abnormalities which c an be obs erved, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalit ies of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) Laboratory findings are anatomical, phy siological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of thes e diagnostic techniques include chemical tes ts, el ectrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, elec troencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sour ces may also help us t o understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable phys ical or ment al impairment which c an be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or c an be

expected to last for a continu ous period of not less t han 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Y our impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medica Ily acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

It is noted that Congr ess removed obes ity from the Listing of Impairments shortly after the removal of drug addition and alc oholism. This removal reflects the view that there is a strong behavioral component to obesity. Thus, obesity in-and-of itself is not sufficient to show statutory disability.

Applying the sequential analysis herein, Claimant is not inelig ible at the first step as Claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de minimus* standard. Ruling any ambiguities in Claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that Claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whet her an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416. 920(d). C laimant does not. The analy sis continues.

The fourth step of the ana lysis looks at t he ab ility of the applicant to return to pas t relevant work. This step ex amines the physical and mental demands of the work done by Claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

In this case, this ALJ finds that Claimant can not return to past relevant work on the basis of the medical evidence. The analysis continues.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applies the biographical data of the applicant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

After a careful review of the credible and substantial evidence on the whole record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant could not do a full range of sedentary work on the basis of medical vocational grid rule 201.00(h).

In reaching this conclusion, it is noted the at Claimant has severe conditions, some of which have unknown etiology. Claimant needs further medical testing. To this extent, the following c ase law plays a significant role: The 6 th Circuit has held that where an individual needs treatment and cannot afford the treatment, a severe or disabling impairment continues to be severe or disabling. *McKnight v Sullivan, Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 927 Fed Report 2d 241, December 1990.

201345558/JGS

It is also noted that Claimant's condition ar e of such a severity, that his vertigo significantly interferes with his ability to remain in an upri ght position, and to focus to reach. Thus, Claimant could not, at this time, do a sedentary job.

For these reasons, and for the reasons stated above, statutory disability is shown.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the department's action was incorrect.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is **REVERSED**.

The department is ORDERED to make a determination if Claimant meets the non-medical criteria for the MA program. If so, the department is ORDERED to open an MA case from the date of applic ation, including any r etro months if eligible, and issue supplemental benefits to Claimant.

The department is ORDERED to review t his case in one year from the date of this Decision and Order.

Janice

/s/ G. Spodarek Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 4, 2013

Date Mailed: November 5, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

• A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evid ence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

ideration/Rehearing Request Recons

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JGS/hj

