STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-68291 Issue No.: 3015; 1018

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 15, 2013
County: Macomb # 20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, October 15, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Nancy Opatich ES.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Due to excess income, did the Department properly \square deny the Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
	Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☐ received: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP benefits.	□ SDA □ CDC	
2.	On July 8, 2013, the Department ☐ o ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced due to excess income.	• •	

- 3. On July 8, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- On August 23, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative 4. (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges

Additionally, the Claimant has an increase in Social Security RSDI income from \$403 to \$461. Department Exhibit 6-8. As a result, the Claimant had excess income for FIP because her unearned income from RSDI at \$461 exceeded the FIP limit of \$403.

The Claimant is getting the maximum amount allowed for a household group composition of 2 of \$367. After deductions from her gross income of \$619 of \$148 standard deduction for an adjusted gross income of \$471. The Claimant was given a total shelter deduction of \$1,140, resulting from a housing expense of \$565 and heat and utility standard of \$575. The Claimant was given an adjusted excess shelter deduction of \$904, with a total shelter deduction of \$1,140 minus 50% of adjusted gross income of \$236. The Claimant had a net income of as a negative, which was the adjusted gross income of \$471 minus the excess shelter deduction of \$904 so she qualified for the maximum of FAP benefits. BEM 505, 515, 518, and 520.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that Department correctly determined that the Claimant had excess income for FIP and increased her FAP to the maximum benefit amount for a household group size of 2.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

 □ acted in accordance with Department policy due to excess income, which resulted in an □ did not act in accordance with Department p □ failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it policy when it 	increase in the Claimant's FAP case. policy when it		
DECISION AND ORDER			
Accordingly, the Department's decision is			
☑ AFFIRMED.☐ REVERSED.☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to to	and REVERSED IN PART with respect		
	Carmon II. Salvie		

Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>11/05/2013</u>

Date Mailed: 11/05/2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pw

