STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2013-68071

Issue No.: 1080

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 30, 2013
County: Wayne (82-57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 30, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's case because she exceeded the 60-month federal lifetime limit on Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits and was not eligible for an exception?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits.
- 2. On July 31, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying her that effective September 1, 2013, her FIP case would close because she had exceeded the 60-month federal lifetime limit on receipt of FIP assistance.
- 3. On September 3, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions on the basis that she was deferred because of her disability.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131. Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The FIP benefit program is not an entitlement. BEM 234 (June 2013), p. 1. Under the federal FIP time limit, individuals are not eligible for continued FIP benefits once they receive a cumulative total of 60 months of FIP benefits unless they are eligible for an exception to the federal time limit. An exception exists for individuals who were, as of January 9, 2013, (1) approved/active for FIP benefits **and** (2) exempt from participation in the Partnership. Accountability.Training.Hope. (PATH) program for domestic violence, establishing incapacity, incapacitated more than 90 days, age 65 or older, or caring for a spouse or child with disabilities. BEM 234, p. 2; MCL 400.57a(4). The exception continues as long as the individual remains eligible for any of the foregoing employment deferral reasons. BEM 234, p. 2. The federal limit count begins October 1996. BEM 234, p. 1.

In this case, Claimant did not dispute the Department's finding that she had received 156 months of federally-funded FIP benefits, which is in excess of the 60-month limit. The issue in Claimant's case was whether she was eligible for an exception to the federal time limit. At the hearing, the Department confirmed that Claimant was an active FIP recipient as of January 9, 2013. The Michigan FIP Time Limit chart submitted by the Department with its hearing packet showed that, in January 2013, Claimant was deferred from participating in the PATH program for the reason of "establishing incapacity." The Department further testified that Claimant had submitted all requested medical documents and that her request for a medical deferral had been forwarded to the Medical Review Team (MRT) in September 2013 and no response had been received from MRT as of the hearing date. Because Claimant received FIP benefits in January 2013 and was deferred from participating in the PATH program for establishing incapacity, Claimant was eligible for an exception to the federal time limit. Because MRT had not addressed Claimant's deferral request, Claimant continued to be exempt from participation from the PATH program as of the hearing date. Accordingly, her exception to the federal time limit continued as well. Because Claimant was eligible for the exception to the federal time limit, the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP case on the basis that she had received FIP benefits in excess of the federal time limit.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate Claimant's FIP case effective September 1, 2013; and
- 2. Issue supplements to Claimant for FIP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from September 1, 2013, ongoing.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 13, 2013

Date Mailed: November 13, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/pf

