STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



No.: 2013-67787 Issue No(s).: 2015;2026

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 30, 2013

County: Wayne (17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 30, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ..., Family Independence Manager.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) deductible?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant and his wife were ongoing recipients of MA with a deductible of
- 2. On August 28, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the amount of his deductible.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

At the hearing, the Department produced a MA budget showing how the deductible in Claimant's case was calculated. (Exhibit 2). The Department testified that in calculating Claimant's deductible, it determined that there was total countable unearned income from unemployment in the amount of which Claimant confirmed. The Department also provided an unemployment compensation search in support. (Exhibit 1).

Following the steps contained in BEM 536, the number of dependents (under the age of 18) living with the fiscal group member is also determined. This number is added to 2.9 to determine the prorate divisor. BEM 536, pp.1-5. In this case, the Department properly determined that because Claimant and his wife live together and have two children under age 18 living in the home, the prorate divisor is 5.9 and the spouse's prorated income is \$155. BEM 536, pp. 3-5.

After further review of the MA budget and based on the foregoing information, the Department calculated Claimant's total net income of in accordance with Department policy. See BEM 536,pp 1-5. Because Claimant's net income of exceeds the applicable PIL, by \$104, the Department calculated Claimant and his monthly deductible in accordance with Department policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it calculated Claimant and his wife's deductible of \$____.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Zainab Baydoun

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 22, 2013

Date Mailed: November 22, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm

cc: