# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-66884

Issue No.: Case No.:

Hearing Date:

October 31, 2013

County: Jackson

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, October 31, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included FIM., FIM, ES, and COCS

# **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly process Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with utility/energy services?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On August 9, 2013, Claimant applied for SER assistance with utility/energy services.
- 2. On August 12, 2013, the Department sent Claimant the SER Decision Notice.
- 3. On August 22, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the SER decision.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich

Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049. Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, the Claimant applied for SER for assistance with her electric and gas on August 9, 2013. On August 8, 2012, the Claimant failed to cooperate with the Office of Child Support (OCS). Department Exhibit 2-11. On August 12, 2013, the Department denied the Claimant's SER application because she failed to cooperate with OCS. Department Exhibit 2. BAM 130 and 110. BEM 255.

During the hearing, the Claimant stated that she had been raped while in Canada. She did not seek medical or psychiatric treatment until years after. As a result, the Department properly determined that the Claimant did not have good cause and was not eligible for benefits.

The Department met its burden that the Claimant is not eligible for SER for failure to cooperate with OCS .

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

$\boxtimes$	acted in accordance with Department policy
	did not act in accordance with Department policy
	failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department
	policy

when it issued its SER Decision Notice.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's SER decision is  $\boxtimes$  **AFFIRMED**  $\square$  REVERSED.

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/14/2013

Date Mailed: 11/14/2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### CGF/pw

CC:

