STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEFARTMENT OF HOMAN SERVICES		
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-63224 1005 October 9, 2013 Wayne (82-19)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Benr	nane	
HEARING DECIS	ION	
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 at 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99 notice, a telephone hearing was held on Octob Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claim Department of Human Services (Department) included	and 400.37; 7 CF .33; and 45 CFR er 9, 2013, from mant. Participan	R 273.15 to 273.18 205.10. After due Detroit, Michigan
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's for:	application 🗌 clo	ose Claimant's case
Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		
FINDINGS OF FA	ACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material fac	•	ial, and substantia
Claimant ☑ applied for ☐ received: ☑ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ Septimized benefits.	SDA 🗌 CDC	□DSS □SSP

Closed Claimant's case

On August 16, 2013, the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application

due to Claimant's failure to provide requested verifications.

- 3. On August 5, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On August 7, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

Additionally, at the hearing, Claimant testified that she was hospitalized when the verifications were due, but admits that she never notified the Department of that fact nor did she request an extention to reply. BAM 130 (July 2013).

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FIP application.
did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it
DECISION AND ORDER
Accordingly, the Department's decision is
☑ AFFIRMED.☐ REVERSED.☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to and REVERSED IN PART with respect
to . Michael J. Bennane

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 21, 2013

Date Mailed: November 21, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

