STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: 2013-55733 Issue No.: 6019 Case No.: August 21, 2013 County: Oakland (63-04)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Mich	ael J. Bennane	
<u>HEARI</u>	NG DECISION	
Administrative Law Judge pursuant to M 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 9 notice, a telephone hearing was held	earing, this matter is before the undersigned ICL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due on August 21, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan uded Claimant. Participants on behalf of the partment) included	
	ISSUE	
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny C for:	Claimant's application	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)′☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	 State Disability Assistance (SDA)? □ Child Development and Care (CDC)? □ Direct Support Services (DSS)? □ State SSI Payments (SSP)? 	
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based evidence on the whole record, finds as n	on the competent, material, and substantia naterial fact:	
 Claimant	ed: MP	
 On June 2, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application due to excess income. 	closed Claimant's case	

- 3. On June 20, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On June 27, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☑ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

At the hearing, Claimant agreed that the income figures the Department was using were correct. The Department calculated Claimant's monthly income to be \$1,863, and the income limit for a family of two, as is the case here, is \$1,496 per month. RFT 270 (October 2013).

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department		
 □ acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied the claimant's CDC application. □ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it □ failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it □. 		
DECISION AND ORDER		
Accordingly, the Department's decision is		
□ AFFIRMED.□ REVERSED.□ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to to	and REVERSED IN PART with respect	

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 31, 2013

Date Mailed: October 31, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

