STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:2013-42882Issue No:2009; 4031Case No:September 19, 2013Hearing Date:September 19, 2013Genesee County DHS 02

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law J udge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 t o 431.250; and 45 CF R 205.10. After due notice, a telephon e hearing was held on September 19, 2013, from Lansi ng, Michigan. Participan ts on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participant s on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Whether Claimant meets the dis ability criteria for Medical Assistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On January 28, 2013, Claiman t filed an application for Medical Assista nce (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On April 2, 2013, the Medical Review Team denied Claimant's application stating that Claim ant's impairments lack duration. The medica I review team approved Claimant for State Disability Assistance from January 2013 through July 2013.
- 3. On April 8, 2013, the Department case worker sent Claimant notice that his application was denied.
- 4. On April 22, 2013, Cla imant filed a request for a hearin g to contest the department's negative action.
- 5. On July 22, 2013, the State Heari ng Review Team again denied Claimant's application.

- 6. On September 19, 2013, t he hearing was held. At t he hearing, Claimant waived the time periods and requested to submit additional medical information.
- 7. On September 19, 2013, additional m edical information was submitted and sent to the State Hearing Review Team.
- 8. On November 8, 2013, the State Hearing Review Team approved Claimant stating in it's recommendation: Claimant applied for MA-P, retroactive MA-P and SDA benefits. MA-P and retroactive MA-P benefits were initially denied by M RT in April 2013, but SDA benef its were approved through July 2013. Upon review, SDA benefits were cont inued from July 2013 thr ough October 2013. The evidence does not indicate a s econd SDA review has been c ompleted by the MRT. The a history of degenerative arthritis and dysplasia in the left hip, status in . The newly submitted evidence notes Claimant should am bulate with a can e and sit intermittently as needed. The evidence does not contain a recent physical exam. The most recent evidence doc uments li mited ambulation s ix-month post-hip replacement, the need for a can e, and the inability to sustain am bulation. Based on the limit ed information in the f ile the Cl aimant is unable to stand six of eight hours; therefore he is rest ricted to performing sedentar y work. The Claimant is not currently engaging in s ubstantial gainful activity based on the information as available in the file. The Claimant's impairment does not meet/equal the intent or severity of an appropriate Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record the capacity to perform a wide range of indicates that the Claimant retains sedentary work. Claimant is unable to perform his past relevant work. Based on the Claimant's vocational profile, MA-P is approved using vocational rule 201.14 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P was cons idered in this case and is approved effective December 2012. SDA is approved in accordance with the BEM 261.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administ rative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibili ty Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Because of the SHRT determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability, per BAM, Item 600.

The department is required to initiate a determination of Claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits, if not previously done.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusions of law, dec ides that the Clai mant meets the definition of medic ally disabled under the Medical As sistance Program and the State Disability Assi stance Program as of the **January 28, 2013** application date. Claimant also meets the definition of medically disabled for purposes of the retroactive Medical Assistance application for the months of December, November and October 2012.

Accordingly, the department is **ORDERED** to in itiate a review of the applic ation if it is not already done so, to determine if all other non-medical eligibility criteria are met. The department shall inform the Claimant of the determination in writing.

A medic al review s hould be sc heduled for November 2014. The department should check to see if Claimant is in current payment status or not. If the Claimant is in current payment status at the medica I review no fur ther action will be ne cessary. However, if the Claimant is not in current payment status at the medical review, the department is to obtain updated application forms (DHS-49) and obtain updated medical records.

It is ORDERED that the department shall review this case in one year from the date of this Decision and Order.

/s/

Landis Y. Lain Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/18/13

Date Mailed: <u>11/19/13</u>

NOTICE OF APPE AL: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LYL/tb

CC:

