STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES			
IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-35852 2021 August 26, 2013 Macomb (50-20)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane			
HEARING DECISION			
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 26, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case for:			
☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		` ,	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
Claimant ☑ applied for ☐ received: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☑ MA ☐ AMP ☐ Senefits.	SDA 🗌 CDC	□DSS □SSP	
2. On March 1, 2013, the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application □ clo	sed Claimant's c	ase	

due to excess assets.

- 3. On March 7, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On March 12, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, at the hearing, the Department testified that Claimant had life insurance worth \$75,000.

Further testimony revealed that this figure was the payoff value, not the cash value, since the insurance in question was term life insurance.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it

did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's MA application for excess assets.

failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is	
☐ AFFIRMED. ☑ REVERSED. ☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to to .	and REVERSED IN PART with respect

☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: Accept documentation of Claimant's life insurance and the cash value, or lack of same, reregister and process Claimant's MA application back to January 10, 2013, and supplement for any missed benefits.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 19, 2013

Date Mailed: November 19, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

