STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No: 201358865

Issue No: 3008 Case No:

Hearing Date: August 21, 2013

DHS SSPC WEST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne D. Sonneborn

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400. 9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's authorized representative's request for a hearing received by the Department of Human Services (department) on July 3, 2013. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 21, 2013. Claimant's a uthorized representative appeared and provided te stimony on Claimant's behalf. The department was represented by an eligibility specialist with the department's Self Service Processing Center West office.

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Services (department) properly denied Claimant 's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits for failure to return the required verifications?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 7, 2013, Claimant applied for FAP benefits.
- 2. On June 11, 2013, the department mail ed Claimant a Verification Checklist (DHS 3503), requesting that Claimant pr ovide verific ation of his savings account, rent, and receipt of RSDI benefit s. This information was due to the department by June 21, 2013. (Department Exhibit 3)
- 3. Claimant failed to provide the department with the required verification by the June 21, 2013 deadline.

- 4. On June 26, 2013, the department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS 1605), informing Claimant that his application for FAP benefits had been denied due to his failur e to timely provide the required v erifications. (Department Exhibit 4)
- 5. On July 3, 2013, Clai mant requested a hearing c ontesting the department's denial of his application for FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Clients have the right to c ontest a department decis ion affe cting eligibil ity or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to rev iew the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. Department of Human Serv ices Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (2011), p. 1. The regulations gov erning the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in sections 400.901 to 400.951 of the Michigan Administrative Code (Mich Admin Code). An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. Mich Admin Code R 400.903(1).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) was established pursuant to the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the F AP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.30001-3015. Depar tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Department policy indicates th at clients must cooperate with the loca I office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility with all programs. BAM 105. This includes completion of the necessary forms. Clients who are able to but refuse to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. BAM 105. Clients must take actions within their ability to obtain verifications. BAM 130; BEM 702. Likewise, DHS local office staff must assist clients who ask for help in completing forms. BAM 130; BEM 702; BAM 105.

Verification is usually required upon application or redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130. The department must allow a client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verification. BAM 130. If the client is unable to provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the department must extend the time limit at least once. BAM 130. For MA, if the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the time limit is extended up to three times. BAM 130. Should the client indicate a refusal to provide a verification or, conversely, if the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it, the department may send the client a negative action notice. BAM 130. (Emphasis added).

In the instant case, Claimant is disputing the department's denial of his application for FAP benefits for failure to provide the required verification

At the August 21, 2013 hear ing, the department's representative and Claim ant's case specialist, testified that at no time prior to the June 21, 2013 deadline for Claimant's submittal of the r equired verifications did Claiman t or Claimant's authorized representative call her and request an extensi on of that deadline or otherwise indicate that Claimant was having diffic ulty and required assistance in obtaining the required verifications.

Claimant's authorized r epresentative and brother, believed he had timely sent in all required verifications. However, Mr. could offer no documentation (ie. an email of fa x transmittal page) establis hing that he had indeed timely submitted all required verifications on Claimant's behalf.

Testimony and other evidence must be we ighed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). Moreover, the weight and credi bility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997).

This Administrative Law Judge has carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record and finds—that, based on the com—petent, material, and substantial evidence presented during the—August 21, 2013 hearing, Claimant failed to timely submit the required verification and failed to timely contact his specialist to advise of his difficulty obtaining t—he verification. Accordingl—y, the department—acted in accordance with policy in denying Claimant's—application for FAP benefits for failure to timely provide the required verifications.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department acted in accordance with policy in denying Claimant's application for FAP benefits for failure to timely provide the required verifications. Accordingly, the department's action in this regard is **UPHELD**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Suzanne

D. Sonneborn

Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 22, 2013

Date Mailed: August 22, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal this Decision and Or der to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- Typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of Claimant;
 - The failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings System Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, MI 48909-07322

SDS/hj

