# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:201356454Issue No:2009; 4031Case No:Image: Case No:Hearing Date:October 30, 2013Bay County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

# HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law J udge pursuant to MC L 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 t o 431.250; and 45 CF R 205.10. After due not ice, a telephone hearing was held on October 30, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on b ehalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA-P/SDA on March 25, 2013, was denied on June 20, 2013 per BEM 260/261, and reques ted a hearing on July 2, 2013.
- 2. Vocational factors: Age 42, 12 <sup>th</sup> grade education, and semi/unskilled work experience.
- 3. Last employment ended in Nove mber 2011 with UCB endin g in March, 2013.
- 4. Disabling symptoms: Chronic pain in hands/feet.
- 5. Medical reports of exams state the claimant on:

June 12, 2013: Had no evidenc e of joint laxity, crepitance,, or a effusion; that grip strength remains intact; that dexterity is unimpaired; that he could

pick up a c oin, button clothing and open a door; that he had no difficult y getting on and off the examination t able, mild difficulty heel and toe walking, mild difficulties squatting, and no difficulty standing on either foot; that range of motion was normal for hands-fingers; that cranial nerves are intact; that motor strength is intact; that muscle tone is normal; that he walks with a guarded gait witho ut the use of an assist device; that he wa s able to s it, stand, bend, stoop, carry, push, pull, button clos e, tie shoes, dress-undress, dial telephone, open door, make a fist, pickup coin; pick up pencil; write, squat and arise from squatting, get on and off examining table, climbs stairs, and that he could walk on heels and toes; that he does not need the support of a walking aid (DHS Exhibit A, Pgs 108- 112).

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies ar e found in the Bridg es Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

### 201356454/WAS

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected t o last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cl ient is i neligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).

4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the anal ysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform oth er work ac cording to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpar t P, Appendix 2, Sec tions 200.00-204.00? If yes, the anal ysis ends and the c lient is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

The claimant had the burden of proof to establish disability in accordance with steps 1-4 above... 20CFR 416.912 (a). The burden of proof shifts to the DHS at Step 5... 20CFR 416.960 (c)(2).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical verification sources are licensed physicians, osteopaths, or certified psychologists ...20CFR 416.913(a)

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

It must allow us to determine --

(1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;

(2) The probable duration of your impairment; and

(3) Your residual functional capac ity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

## Step 1

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your m edical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

The evidence of record established that the claimant has not engaged in s ubstantial gainful activity since November 2011. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to continue to the next step.

# Step 2

... [The re cord must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

**Basic w ork activities.** When we talk about basic wor k activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;

2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;

3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;

4. Use of judgment;

5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and

Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.
 20 CFR 416.921(b).

**Non-severe impairment(s)**. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit

your physical or mental ability to do bas ic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

The medic al reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, treatment and progress reports. They do not provide medi cal assessments of Cla imant's basic work limitations for the required duration. Stated differently, the medical reports do no t establish whether the Claimant is impaired mi nimally, mildly, m oderately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely, as defined above for a one year c ontinuous duration.

The claimants disabling symptoms (Findings of Fact #4) are inconsiste nt with the objective medical evidence of record (Findings of Fact #6).

...Your sy mptoms, i ncluding pain, will be determined t o diminish your capacity for basic work activities...to the extent that your alleged functional limitations and restrictions due to symptoms, such as pain, can reasonably be accept ed as consistent with the objectiv e medical evid ence and other evidence. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(4).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which s how that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean t hat we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

The medical evidence of record has not est ablished the Claimant's abnormal physical findings have persisted on a regular and continuing basis on repeated examinations for a reasonable presumption to be made that a severe physical impairment has lasted or is expected to last for at least a one year continuous duration.

The medic al reports (Findings of Fact #6) show that Claimant's examinations were within normal limitations; that his impairments were minimal to moderate (not severe); and that his condition is stable (not deteriorating).

### 201356454/WAS

The Claimant has not sustained his burden of proof to estab lish a severe impairment in combination, instead of a non- severe impairment, for the required one year continuous duration.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at Step 2.

The department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability As sistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be dis abled, caring for a disable d person or age 65 or older. BEM , Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and becaus e the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable t o work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability cr iteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at Step 2 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P/SDA denial is **UPHELD** and so ORDERED.

/s/

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 1, 2013

Date Mailed: November 1, 2013

#### 201356454/WAS

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Deci sion and Order or, if a ti mely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt d ate of the Decision and Order of Rec onsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehe aring or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final deci sion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the
  outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
  of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing d ecision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

WAS/hj

