STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:					
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201356440 3008 August 7, 2013 SSPC-West			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E.	Harris				
HEARING DE	CISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrated MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on August 7, 2013, behalf of Claimant included Human Services (Department) included Eligibility	st for a hearing. from Lansing, Michi Participants on b <u>eh</u>	After due notice, a			
ISSUE					
Did the Department properly \square deny Claiman for:	t's application ⊠ cl	ose Claimant's case			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial			
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☑ received benefits for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			
2. On June 1, 2013 , the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ clos	sed Claimant's case				

due to his failure to submit the required verification.

	On June 12, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On June 28, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. Closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Res 42 Age thro	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 pugh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
prog imp Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is elemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Sec The Age	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Properties of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Ser pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human vices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA gram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.
and 199 The and	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 30, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. It is program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 199. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 3.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

In this case, the Department closed the Claimant's FAP case because he did not submit verification of ownership of three mobile homes. The Claimant testified that he only owns two mobile homes and he cannot find the titles to the homes to provide to the Department and he simply cannot afford to replace them. Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 400 (2013) p. 1, 4, defines real property as an asset and sets the asset limit for FAP at \$ By the Claimant's own admission during the hearing, he owns

Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (2012) pp.1, 2, provides that the Department worker verify the Claimant's reported information when an eligibility factor is unclear by telling the Claimant what verification is required, how to obtain it and the due date by using a DHS-3503 Verification Checklist. The Department worker is therefore required to verify whether or not the Claimant is over the asset limit for FAP by issuing the Claimant a DHS-3503, Verification Checklist enumerating what is acceptable verification. The Department worker in this case did that.

Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (2012) p. 5, provides that verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. It instructs Department workers to send a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide a verification, or when the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. In this case, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the time period to submit the verification had lapsed and the Claimant had made no reasonable effort to provide the verification. As such, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department has met its burden of establishing that it was acting in accordance with policy when taking action to close the Claimant's case for failure to submit the required verification.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons						
stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department						
properly denied Claimant's application <u>improperly denied Claimant's application</u>						
prope <u>rly</u> clos <u>ed</u> Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for:						
☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.						
<u>DECISION AND ORDER</u>						
The Administrative Levy hodge beautiful to the characteristic field in the first and Constraints						

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's 🔲	AMP L FIP	oxtimes FAP $igsqcup$ MA $igsqcup$	SDA ∐ CDC d€	ecision
s X AFFIRMED REVERSED	. —		_	

/s/

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 8/8/13

Date Mailed: 8/8/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

cc: