STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#17

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013 52241 1005 August 12, 2013 Wayne County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Fe	erris	
HEARING DI	<u>ECISION</u>	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrand MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's requestelephone hearing was held on August 12, 201 behalf of Claimant included the Claimant appeared as an interpreter and Authorized Hehalf of the Department of Human Service Eligibility Specialist.	est for a hearing. Afte 13, from Detroit, Mich the Cla earing Represent at	er due notice, a igan. Participants on imant's br other als o ive. <u>Participants on</u>
ISSU	<u>E</u>	
Did the Departm ent properly ⊠ deny Claimai for:	n t's application 🔲 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS C	OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as materia	he competent, materi al fact:	al, and substantial
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ⊡ receive	ed benefits for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

2.	On June 1, 2013, the Department
3.	On May 28, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On June 10, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. □ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through the 400.3180.
an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996.

The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the issue in this case was whether the Department properly denied the Claimant's FIP application for failure of the Claimant to attend an interview o May 23, 2013 made pursuant to the Appointm ent Notice. Exhibit 2. The Claimant stated at the hearing that she did not receive the Appointment Notice. The appointment notice was sent to the Claimant at the correct address. the law in Michigan provides the following with regards to reciept of mail: The proper mailing and a ddressing of a letter presumption may be rebutted by evidence creates a presumption of receipt. That 1969); Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Stacey v Sankovic h, 19 Mich App 638 (Insurance Exchange, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In this case the Claimant indicated that she did not have problems with her mail but did not rec eive the Appointment Notice. It is determined that the Claimant did not rebut the presumpt ion that the letter was not received as it was properly mailed and address ed. Therefore it is determined that the Department correctly denied the Claimant's FIP application for failure to attend the interview. BAM 115. (July 1, 2013). The Claimant may r eapply for FIP benefits at any time.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
Ly M. Servis)		
Lynn M. Ferris		
Administrative Law Judge		

Date Signed: August 27, 2013

Date Mailed: August 27, 2013

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services NOTICE OF APPEAL: Michigan Ad ministrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the fin al decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 3 0 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LF/hj

