STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#82

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201351226 2010 August 8, 2013 Wayne County DHS	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer			
HEARING DEC	CISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on A ugust 8, 2013, from Detroit, Mi chigan. The Claimant did not appear. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant's brother and Authorized Representative, Office Manager, Health Care Center, and Asst. Business Office Manager, Participant s on be half of the Department of Human Services (Department) included			
ISSUE			
Did the Departm ent properly $igtimes$ deny Claiman t $^{\circ}$ for:	's application 🔲 cl	ose Claimant's case	
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits □ received benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA).		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).	

2.	On May 2, 2013, the Department ignormal denied Claimant's application ignormal closed Claimant's case due to a determination that Claimant possessed assets above the \$2,000 limit.
3.	On May 2, 2013, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On May 31, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im _l Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through the 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Additionally, \$ is the ma ximum assets a customer can possess in order to be eligible for Medicaid benefits. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 400 (2013), p. 6. The assets at insure in this case are two prepaid funeral contracts, one for \$ and one for \$
If the Department decides that these asse ts are countable assets owned by the Claimant, then clearly the Claimant has assets over \$ and he is not eligible for Medicaid benefits. On the other hand, if the Department decides that the assets are not

and Claimant may or may not then be eligible for Medicaid. BEM 400, p. 6.

The first consideration in this c ase shall be to determine the app licable law, i.e., the Department policy and proce dure, in this case. The Department's Bridge s Administrative Manual (BAM) 805, "Prepaid Funeral Contracts," is the applicable policy, and it shall be applied in this case.

Department of Human Services Bridges

Administrative Manual (BAM) 805 (2013).

countable assets, then the assets may be ex cluded from Claimant's countable assets

BAM 805 s tates that t here are four types of funeral contracts in Mi chigan: guaranteed price contract, non-guaranteed price contract, revocable contract and irrevocable contract. A decision that the contract is revocable means that the Claimant in effect has kept owner ship of the asset. A Department decision that the contract is irrevocable means that the Department may exclude it from the Claimant's countable assets. An irrevocable contract means that the asset is permanently unavailable to the purchaser and/or beneficiary. *Id.*, pp. 1-2.

Next, the history of this case will be reviewed and set forth. The following additional findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this case.

On October 18, 2012, Claimant executed an Enrollment Form and purchased additional insurance from Homesteaders Life Company, for \$ Dept. Exh. 1, pp. 3-4.

Also on October 18, 2012, Claimant exec uted an Assignm ent of Life Insurance Proceeds. It is initialed by a person clai ming to be the Representative of a Funeral Home; however, the funeral home is not named in the Assignm ent and the Representative also is not identified by name. *Id.*, p. 5.

Also on October 18, 2012, a third docum ent was exec uted, titled "Guaranteed Revocable Preneed Funeral Agreement." The parties to this document are the Claimant and the Martenson F.H. Ridge Chapel. *Id.*, p. 6.

On October 23, 2012, Claimant executed a second Enrollment form and made a second purchase of additional insurance of \$7,844.40. On the same day he executed another Assignment of Life Insurance Proceeds, ident ical with the October 18 Assignment in that no funeral home or the nam e of the representative, is stated. Also on this day Claimant executed a sec ond Guaranteed Revocable Preneed Funeral Agreement, and the second party is named as Martenson F.H. *Id.*, pp. 8-10.

The Homesteaders Enrollment Form is silent as to whether the contract being created is revocable or irrevocable. Id., pp. 3, 7. However, the Homesteaders Assignment of Life Insurance Proceeds states in Paragraph 1 that

[t]his assignment may be revoked...If the assignment is revoked, the death benefit under the life insurance policy or annuity shall be paid in accoredance with the beneficiary designation under the insurance policy or annuity contract. Notwithstanding anything in the preneed funeral agreement to the contrary, upon revocation of this assignment, the preneed funeral agreement is revoked and cemetery goods or services or funeral services or goods may be obtained from any cemetery, funeral establishment or seller. *Id.*, pp. 5, 9.

Having reviewed this language in the contract, and all of the evidence in this case in its entirety, it is found a nd determined that this language clear ly states that the contract between Claimant and Ho mesteaders is revocable. It further details the parties' agreement as to what shall be done if it is revoked; that is, that the money shall be paid to the beneficiary. And, it further states t hat when it is revoked the parties may make a new contract with a seller of their choice. *Id.*

In addition to the language of paragraph 1 in the Ass ignment form, paragraph 2 states that if Claimant is deceased and an estate is opened, the representative of the estate may refuse to authorize Homesteaders to release the proceeds to the funeral home. Also, paragraph 5 states that the Preneed Funeral Agreem ent cannot automatically revoke Claimant's Assignments unless the Assignments are specifically revoked. *Id.*

Having carefully reviewed the Assignment fo rms in this case, and, having reviewed a ll of the evidence in this case in its entirety, it is found and deter mined that there is no evidence to support the Claimant's assertion that the Assignments are irrevocable. Indeed, the Assignment forms make it abundantly clear that the parties intend the assignments to be revocable at the discretion of the Claimant or his representative, the forms state what will happen when revocation occurs, and, the Assignments specifically

Date Signed: August 23, 2013

Date Mailed: August 23, 2013

state that unless the Assignmen ts are specifically rev oked they cannot be revoked by changes to the Preneed Funeral Agreements. *Id.*

In conclusion, having carefully considered all of the evidence in this case in its entirety, it is found and determined that the Department acted correctly in denying MA benefits to Claimant based on his c ountable assets, which are m ore than \$2,000. BEM 400. The Department is affirmed.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \times \text{did act properly.} did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. Jan Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services <u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u>: Michigan Ad ministrative Hea ring Syst em (MAHS) may orde r a rehea ring or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the fin al decision cannot be im plemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 3 0 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/hj

