STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 201343328
Issue No: 2014
Case No: Hearing Date: August 14, 2013
Gratiot County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne D. Sonneborn

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's guardian's request for a hearing received by the Department of Human Services (department) on April 24, 2013. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 14, 2013 at which Claimant's daughter, also Claimant's guardi an and authoriz ed representative, appeared and provided testimony on Claimant's behalf.

Rehabilitation Center, and from also appeared on Claimant's behalf. The department was represented by Cher yl Liberty, a lead worker with the department's Gratiot County office.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the depart ment properly determined Claimant's Medical Assis tance (MA) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On March 15, 2013, Claimant's guardian, application on Claim ant's behalf for retroactive MA, seeking retroactive medical as sistance coverage for Claim ant for December 2012, January 2013, and February 2013. (Department Exhibit 2)
- 2. On April 11, 2013, the department mailed a Notice of Case Action (DHS 1605), informing her that Claimant's retroactive MA application for the months of December 2012, January 2013, and February 2013 was denied because Claimant's assets exceeded the \$2,000 asset limit for the

MA program under department policy, BEM 400. (Department Exhibits 3-10)

- 3. On April 24, 2013, Ms. Submitted a hearing request protesting the Department's denial of Claim ant's March 15, 2013 retroactive MA application. In doing so, Ms. September 2012 closure of Claimant 's MA case, claiming that the department mailed the redetermination paperwork to the incorrect address. 1
- 4. On April 29, 2013, the department mailed Ms. a Notice of Case Action (DHS 1605), informing her that, following the department's corrected calculation of the cash surrender value of Claimant's life insurance policy, Claimant was approved for retroactive MA coverage for the month of February 2013.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Clients have the right to cont est a department decis ion affect ing eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to rev iew the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. Department of Human Serv ices Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (2011), p. 1. The regulations gov erning the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in sections 400.901 to 400.951 of the Michigan Administrative Code (Mich Admin Code). An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. Mich Admin Code R 400.903(1).

The MA program was established by Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). The department administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105. Department policies for the MA program are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges E ligibility Manual (BEM), the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The department determines a client's eligib ility for MA benefits based on, among other things, the client's assets. BEM 400. Effe ctive October 1, 2012, the MA as set limit for SSI-related Medicid for a group size of one is \$2,000. BEM 400.

¹ To the extent that Claimant's April 24, 2013 he aring request challenges a negative action taken by the department in Septem ber 2012, it is untimely be cause any he aring request which protests a denial, reduction or termination of benefits must be filed within 90 days of the mailing of the negative action notice. MAC R 400.902; MAC R 400.903; MAC R 400.904. Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge lacks jurisdiction to address and resolve this portion of Claimant's April 24, 2013 hearing request.

Department policy defines "assets" to mean cash, investments, retirement plans, trusts, any other personal property and real property. BEM 400, p. 1. Real property is land and objects affixed to the land such as buildings, trees and fences. Personal property is any item subject to ownership the hat is not real property, such as currency, savings accounts and vehicles. BEM 400, p. 1. A life insurance policy is an asset only if it can generate a cash value or a cash surrender value, which is the amount of money the policy owner may obtain by canceling the policy before it matures or before the insured dies. BEM 400, p. 33.

In this case, Claimant's guardian, Challenges the department's denial of Claimant's March 15, 2013 retroactive MA application for the months of December 2012 and January 2013.

Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge finds that, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence presented during the August 14, 2013 hearing, the department properly denied Claimant's March 15, 2013 application for retroactive MA benefits for the months of December 2012 and January 2013 for the reas on that Claimant's assets exceed the \$2,000.00 limit for the MA program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department properly denied Claimant's March 15, 2013 application for retroactive MA benefits for the months of December 2012 and January 2013 for the reason that Claimant's assets exceed the \$2,000. 00 limit for the MA program. Accordingly, the department's action in this regard is **UPHELD**.

It is SO ORDERED.

Suzanne D. Sonneborn
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 22, 2013

Date Mailed: August 23, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearings System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Order. MAHS will not order a rehear ing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal this Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt dat e of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - Typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of Claimant;
 - The failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings System Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, MI 48909-07322

SDS/hj

CC:

