STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201339496

Issue No.: Case No.:

Case No.:
Hearing Date: July 31, 2013
County: Washtenaw

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Gary F. Heisler

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on July 31, 2013. Claimant appeared and testified. The Department was represented by

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services properly determine Claimant's Child Development and Care (CDC) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On January 25, 2013, Claimant submitted an application for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits.
- (2) On March 11, 2013, Claimant had provided all required verifications and was eligible for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits from January 13, 2013 as long as she used an eligible provider. Claimant was not using an eligible provider so she was denied Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits. Claimant was sent a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) denying the benefits.
- (3) On March 12, 2013, Claimant's preferred provider became an approved provider and the Department began paying for Claimant's child care.
- (4) On April 1, 2013, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Care program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and MAC R 400.5001-5015. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Claimant submitted a request for hearing concerning payment of her preferred provider BEFORE the provider was eligible. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 703 CDC Program Requirements (2013) page 1 clearly states that CDC eligibility does not exist until an eligible provider is providing the care. Claimant's decision to use an ineligible provider does not change the Department's program requirements.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the Department of Human Services properly determined Claimant's Child Development and Care (CDC) eligibility.

It is ORDERED that the actions of the Department of Human Services, in this matter, are **UPHELD**.

<u>/s/</u>____

Gary F. Heisler Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>08/05/2013</u>

Date Mailed: <u>08/05/2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

201339496/GFH

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

GFH/sw

cc: