# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:201336486Issue No:2009; 4031Case No:Image: Case of the second second

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Cla imant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on July 23, 2013. Claimant appeared and provided testimony on his/her behalf with the testime of L & S Associates. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included the testime and testime of the testime of Human Services (Department) included testime of the testime of te

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for 3 months retr o MA-P /SDA on J uly 31, 2012, was denied on February 28, 2013 per BEM 260/261, and r equested a hearing on March 22, 2013.
- 2. Claimant's is 31 years old, with a 12 <sup>th</sup> grade education, and has employment history that includes semi/unskilled work experience.
- 3. Claimant's last employment ended in 2007.
- 4. Claimant's disabling symptoms include int ermittent short term memory loss; chronic poor concentration; chr onic severe pain in abdominal area, chronic severe fatigue; difficulty with urination with pain in lower abdom en when needing to urinate; chronic pain throughout the body.
- 5. Claimant's lifting capacity is limited to lifting or carrying up to ten pounds.

- 6. Claimant's alleged dis abling medical disorder(s) ar e multiple m ental and physical disorders. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 283).
- 7. Medical reports of exam inations of claimant refl ect the following that are adopted as findings of fact:

On July 15, 2012 upon examination, there was no acute distress noted and claimant appeared well nourished, well developed, and cooperative in the examination. His musculoskeleta I/spinal development was noted as *normal*; he was alert and oriented times three; his cranial nerv es were *normal*; and he was noted as having *normal* reflexes and *normal* motor strength. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 34).

On July 17, 2012 claimant was determined to be not mentally ill. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 22).

On July 31, 2012 c laimant was determined to have a GAF scor e of 55. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 62).

On December 5, 2012 claimant was determined to have a GAF score of 41 to 50. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 97).

On December 6, 2012 claimant was noted to have impaired attention, concentration, and intact intelligence, and knowledge, impaired immediate memory and remote memory. Claimant was orient ed to person, place, and time/date, that cognition is impaired due to memory loss; that insight and judgment are limited; and had a GAF sco re of 21-30. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 88).

On December 11, 2012 claimant was noted to be oriented to person, place, and time/date, had cooperat ive behavior, had adequat e memory; fair insight and intact judgment. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 100).

On December 11, 2012 claimant had a GAF score of 41-50. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 207).

On December 11, 2012: claimant 's symptoms were noted to have improved and it was determined that he demonstrates some improvement in judgment and insight. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 211).

8. The State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) decision dated June 12, 2013 states the Claimant 's impairments do not meet/ equal a Social Security listing for the required duration. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 283).

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The

#### 201336486/WAS

Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies ar e found in the Bridg es Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations are used as a guideline and require that several considerations be analyzed in sequentia I order. If dis ability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).

2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected t o last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cl ient is i neligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no,

the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).

4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the anal ysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).

5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform oth er work ac cording to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpar t P, Appendix 2, Sec tions 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysi s ends and the c lient is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

The claimant had the burden of proof to establish disability in accordance with steps 1-4 above... 20CFR 416.912 (a). The burden of proof shifts to the DHS at Step 5... 20CFR 416.960 (c)(2).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical verification sources are licensed physicians, osteopaths, or certified psychologists ...20CFR 416.913(a)

...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

It must allow us to determine --

(1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;

(2) The probable duration of your impairment; and

(3) Your residual functional capac ity to do w ork-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

### Step 1

...If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your m edical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The evidence of record established that the claimant has not engaged in substantial gainful activity since 2007. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to continue to the next step.

## Step 2

... [The re cord must show a severe impairment] which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities.... 20 CFR 416.920(c).

**Basic w ork activities.** When we talk about basic wor k activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include:

1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;

2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;

3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;

4. Use of judgment;

5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and

6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

**Non-severe impairment(s)**. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do bas ic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

The medic al reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, treatment and progress reports. They do not provide medi cal assessments of Cla imant's basic work limitations for the required duration. Stated differently, the medical reports do no t establish whether the Claimant is impaired mi nimally, mildly, m oderately (non-severe impairment, as defined above) or severely, as defined above for a one year c ontinuous duration.

The claimants disabling symptoms (Findings of Fact #4) are inconsiste nt with the objective medical evidence of record (Findings of Fact #6).

...Your sy mptoms, i ncluding pain, will be determined t o diminish your capacity for basic work activities...to the extent that your alleged functional limitations and restrictions due to symptoms, such as pain, can reasonably be accept ed as consistent with the objectiv e medica I evid ence and other evidence. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(4).

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which s how that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...A statement by a medical source that you are "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean t hat we will determine that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

Claimant had a GAF score of 55 in July, 2021 and 41-50 and 21-30 in December, 2012. 41-50 is considered a severe mental impairment with occupational functioning and 55 is considered a moderate (not severe) mental impairment with o ccupation f unctioning. DSM IV (4<sup>th</sup> edition-revised).

The medical ev idence of rec ord has not established the Claimant's abnorm al mental/physical findings have persisted on a regular and continuing bas is on repeated examinations for a reasonable e presumption to be made that a severe mental impairment has lasted or is expected to last for at least a one year continuous duration.

The medic al reports (Findings of Fact #6) show that Claimant's examinations were within normal limitations; that his impairments were minimal to moderate (not severe); and that his condition is stable (not deteriorating).

The Claimant has not sustained his bur den of proof to establis h a seve re physical/mental impairment in combination, instead of a non-severe impairment, for the required one year continuous duration.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop at Step 2.

If disability had not already been denied at Step 2, it would also be denied at Steps 3, 4, and 5.

### Step 3

...If you have an im pairment(s) which meets the duration requirement and is listed in Appendix 1 or is equal to a listed impairment(s), we will find y ou disabled wit hout considering

your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

For each of the major body sy stems, the List of Impairments describes impairments which are considered severe enough to prevent a person from doing any substantial gainful activity. Most of the listed impairments are permanent or expected to result in death, or make a specific statement of duration. (20 CFR 404.1525 and 416.925).

The Claimant introduced no obj ective medical evidence of record that his impairments meets/equals a social security listing for the required duration. The SHRT physician agreed.

#### Step 4

...If we cannot make a decision on your current work activities or medical facts alone and you have a s evere impairment, we will then review your residual functional capacity and the physical and m ental demands of the work you have done in the past. If you can still do this k ind of work, we will find th at you are not disa bled. 20 CF R 416.920(e).

...We consider that y our work experience applies when it was done within the last 15 year s, lasted long enou gh for you to learn to do it, and was substantial gainful activity. We do not usually cons ider that work you did 15 year or more before the time we are decidin g whether y ou are dis abled applies.... 20 CFR 416.965(a).

The claimant introduced no objective medical evidence of a severe impairment for the required one year continuous duration under Step 2 and inability to perform past work under Step 4.

#### Step 5

If you cannot do any work you have done in the past because you have a severe impairment(s), we will consider your residual functional capacity and your age, education, and past work experience to see if you can do other work. If you cannot, we will find you disabled. 20 CFR 416.920(f)(1).

...Your residual functional capacit y is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (constant) and (d) of this section.

Residual functional capacity is an assessment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. Thes eterms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of <u>Occupational Titles</u>, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work**. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occa sionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which in volves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if wa Iking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

**Younger person**. If you are under age 50, we generally do not consider that your age will s eriously affect your ability to adapt to a new work situation.... 20 CFR 416.963(b).

...If you are unemployed because of your age and y ou can still do a significant number of jobs which exist in the national economy, we will find that y ou are not disabled.... 20 CFR 416.963(a).

The Claimant introduced no objective medical evidence under Step 4 of inability to do past work (Findings of Fact #2). This ALJ fi nds that the Claimant should be able to do less strenuous work than his past work, such as sedentary type work, as defined above.

Under the medical-vocational guidelines, Rule 201.27, a younger person age 31 with a 12<sup>th</sup> grade education and, an unskilled/s emiskilled work history that is limited to sedentary work is not considered disabled.

The department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability As sistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disable d person or age 65 or older. BEM , Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and becaus e the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable t o work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for Stat e Disability Assistanc e benefits either.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at St ep 2, and als o would not have been established at steps 3, 4, and 5 by the competent, material and s ubstantial evidence on the whole record.

# DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P/SDA denial is **UPHELD** and so ORDERED.

<u>/s/</u>

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 21, 2013

Date Mailed: August 21, 2013

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/hj

CC:

