#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:201335532Issue No:2001, 4031Case No:1000Hearing Date:August 22, 2013Kalamazoo County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne D. Sonneborn

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon Claimant's request for a hear ing received by the Department of Human Services (department) on March 8, 2013. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 22, 2013. Claim ant appeared and provided testimony. The department was represented by **Example 1** an eligibility special list with the department's Kalamazoo County branch office.

# ISSUE

Whether the department properly determined Claimant's elig ibility for State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia I evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 26, 2013, Claimant submitted an application for SDA benefits. In his applic ation, Claimant indicated that he was not disabled or blind. (Department Exhibit 2)
- 2. On February 27, 2013, the d epartment mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS 1605) advising Claimant that his application for SDA benefits had been denied effective Febr uary 1, 2013 for the reason that he is not aged, blind, disabled, under 21, pregnant, or a parent/caretaker relative of a dependent child. T he department further advised Claimant that the department is unable to determine Claimant 's eligibility for the Adult Medical Program (AMP) becaus e the program is closed to new enrollments at this time.
- 3. On March 6, 2013, Claimant submitted a hear ing request protesting the department's denial of his application for SDA benefit s. (Department Exhibit 1)

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Clients have the right to c ontest a department decis ion affe cting eligibil ity or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to rev iew the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. Department of Human Serv ices Bridges Adminis trative Manual (BAM) 600 (2011), p. 1. The regulations gov erning the h earing and appeal pr ocess for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in sections 400.901 to 400.951 of the Michigan Administrative C ode (Mich Admin Code). An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant w ho requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. Mich Admin Code R 400.903(1).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program was established by 2004 PA 344 and is a financial assistance program for individual s who are not eligible for the Family Independence Program (FIP) and are either disabled or the caretaker of a disabled person. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180.

The Adult Medical Pr ogram (AMP) was establis hed by Title XXI of the Soc ial Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (DHS or departm ent) pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*. Department policies are containe d in the Bridges Administrati ve Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), t he Bridges Reference Manual (BRM), and the Reference e Tables Manual (RFT). Applications received during a freeze on AMP enrollments must be registered and denied. BEM 640.

In this case, the department processed Claimant's February 26, 2013 assistanc e application and based on the information prov ided therein by Claimant, the department determined that Claimant was not eligible for SDA benefits because he did not indic ate that he had a disabling medical condition. The department furthe r determined that Claimant was not eligible for the Adult Me dical Program (the only program for which Claimant would hav e qualified si nce he is not aged, blind, or disabled) because the AMP is not currently open to new enrollees.

At the August 22, 2013 hearing, Claimant acknowle dged that he did not complete the relevant section of the assistance application (Section K) indicating that he suffered from a disabling medical condition.

Testimony and other evidence must be we ighed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). Moreover, the weight and credi bility of this evidenc e is generally for the fact-finder to determine. *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997).

This Administrative Law Judge has carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record and finds that, based on the com petent, material, and

substantial evidence presented during the August 22, 2013 hearing, the department acted in accordance with policy in denying Claimant's February 26, 2013 application for SDA and AMP benefits.

# DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the department acted in acc ordance with policy in denying Claimant's February 26, 2013 application for SDA and AMP benefits. Accordingly, the department's actions in this regard are **UPHELD**.

#### It is **SO ORDERED**.

/s/

Suzanne D. Sonneborn Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 22, 2013

Date Mailed: August 23, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal this Decision and Or der to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - Typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of Claimant;
  - The failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

A request for a rehearing or reconsideration must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings System Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, MI 48909-07322

SDS/hj

