# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201334432

Issue No.: 2014

Case No.:

Hearing Date: August 14, 2013

County: Ottawa

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on August 14, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included (Claimant). Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included (Assistance Payments Worker) and (Family Independence Manager).

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Due to excess income, did the Department properly close Claimant's case for Medical Assistance (MA)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant received benefits for Medical Assistance (MA).
- 2. On March 1, 2013, the Department closed Claimant's case due to excess income.
- 3. On February 12, 2013, the Department sent Claimant notice of the closure.
- 4. On March 5, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the closure of the case.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Here, Claimant was active for the MA Freedom to Work (FTW) program, which is an SSI-related Group 1 category. BEM 174. Income eligibility for the FTW program exists when the client's net unearned income does not exceed 100 percent of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL). See RFT 246, which provides that for a fiscal group size of 1, 100% poverty level is \$931.00 per month.

In this case, Claimant had an increase in his unearned income (RSDI from \$930.90 to \$946.00) effective January 1, 2013. This increase brought him above the 100% FPL of \$931.00. For this reason, the Department closed his MA-FTW case and opened a new MA deductible case.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department properly closed Claimant's case for MA.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's MA decision is **AFFIRMED** for the reasons stated on the record and discussed above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/

C. Adam Purnell Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 20, 2013

Date Mailed: August 21, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### CAP/aca

CC:

