STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

П	N	Т	Н	F	N	1 4	T	ΓΕ	R	0	F٠
ш	•			_	ıv	_		_		•	

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-69762 2021; 3008 October 24, 2103 SSPC-WEST
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E.	Harris	
HEARING DE	CISION	
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to notice, a telephone hearing was held on Octo Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Department).	0.9 and 400.37; 7 CF 99.33; and 45 CFF ober 24, 2013, from Part	R 273.15 to 273.18; R 205.10. After due Lansing, Michigan. ticipants on behalf of
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimar for:	nt's application 🗌 c	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?		,
FINDINGS OF	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		rial, and substantial
Claimant ⊠ applied for: ☐ FIP ☑ F ☐ CDC ☐ DSS ☐ SSP benefits.	FAP 🛛 MA	AMP SDA
2. On September 4, 2013, the Department of due to her failure to submit the required denied due to excess assets.		

- 3. On September 4, 2013, the Department sent Claimant its decision.
- 4. On September 16, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.
∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.31513180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.50015020.

☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.
☐ The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is established by 20 CFR 416.20012099 and the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10.
The Department testified that, initially, the Claimant was denied FAP for failing to return any of the verifications requested by the due date of September 3, 2013. However, the verifications had been received on August 29, 2013, unbeknownst to the Department's ES, because the verifications were not uploaded onto the Electronic Case File until after September 4, 2013. The Department's ES testified that the Claimant did submit all verification by the due date but for verification of her The Claimant testified that she believes she turned in all verification requested of her. The testimony of the ES is found to be credible and persuasive, as it is consistent with other evidence in the record, specifically, all of the verifications but that of the which are date stamped as being received on August 29, 2013.
BAM 130 pp. 2, 3, provides that the Department worker tell the Claimant what verification is required, how to obtain it and the due date by using a DHS-3503, Verification Checklist to request verification. In this case, the Department did just that. The Claimant must obtain required verification, but the Department's worker must assist if they need and request help. There is no evidence in this case to suggest that the Claimant asked her ES for assistance. BAM 130 (2012) p. 5, provides that verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. It instructs Department workers to send a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide a verification, or when the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. In this case, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the time period to submit the verification had lapsed, but the Claimant had made no reasonable effort to provide the verification of her the Department took action to deny the Claimant's application for FAP, it was acting in accordance with departmental policy.
Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 400 p. 6, 7, sets the asset limit for MA-G2C at and provides that asset eligibility exists when the asset group's countable assets are less than, or equal to, the applicable asset limit at least one day during the month being tested. BEM 400 p. 55, provides that the Department's ES is not to require verification when countable assets exceed the limit based on a person's own statement of value.
Based on the verification of the Claimant's in evidence, the Claimant did have less than \$ in her up until . However, the Claimant did not return verification of her case comments in evidence, the Claimant had reported to the ES that she had her . Those case comments are found to be persuasive as they are detailed and documented contemporaneous with activities in the Claimant's

case Therefore, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that when the Department took action to deny the Claimant's application for excess assets, it was acting in accordance with its policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it took action to deny the Claimant's application for FAP and MA.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED.

Susanne E. Harris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/1/13

Date Mailed: 11/1/13

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

