STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

 					_	_
		B / /	\ T -	ΓFR	\sim	
	-	11/1 /		ı – ĸ		

· ·	· ·	

Reg. No.: 2013-69651

Issue No.:

1038

Case No.: Hearing Date:

October 24, 2013

County: Jackson

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Thursday, October 24, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Molly June, DHS-PATH and Stacey Reese, PATH.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly 🔲 deny Clair for:	nant's application 🗵 close Claimant's case			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)?☐ State SSI Payments (SSP)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
 Claimant ☐ applied for ☐ received: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP benefits. 	☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ DSS ☐ SSP			
 On September 11, 2013, the Departme	ent ⊠ closed Claimant's case			

- 3. On September 11, 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
- 4. On September 19, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

(BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.31513180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.50015020.

$oxedsymbol{\square}$ Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL $_{ extstyle a}$	400.1-
.119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.1	0 and
400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.	
☐ The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is established by 20 CFR 416.2001	.2099
and the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The Department administers the pro-	ogram
pursuant to MCL 400.10.	J

Additionally, The Claimant was an ongoing Family Independence Program (FIP) recipient. The Department had referred the Claimant to the PATH program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. On September 11, 2013, the Claimant was non-compliant with the PATH program because he failed to participate in a required activity. Department Exhibit 3. On September 11, 2013, the Claimant was sent a Notice on Noncompliance (DHS-2444) requesting a triage meeting on September 17, 2013. Department Exhibit 5-6. The Department conducted a triage meeting on September 17, 2013 and it was determined that the Claimant did not have good cause for noncompliance with the PATH program. BEM 233A and 233B. BAM 600.

During the hearing, the Claimant stated that he refused to go back to the volunteer site because they were asking him to violate the restrictions that he was given by his treating physician. The PATH Caseworker was aware of the restrictions, but thought that was okay because the Claimant was looking for jobs that also violated his treating physician's restrictions. However, PATH is required by policy to keep volunteer work in keeping with a treating physician's restrictions. The Claimant's treating physician restrictions were given to the PATH Caseworker, but were never given to the Department Caseworker to be sent to the Medical Review Team (MRT) for deferral or limitations for PATH.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department's determination that the Claimant did not have good cause for PATH noncompliance with the PATH program is not reasonable. The Department has not established that it acted properly when it closed the Claimant's FIP benefits for noncompliance with the PATH program. This would have been the Claimant's 2nd sanction where his FIP benefits would have been cancelled for 6 months, but the Department action is reversed. The Department is ordered to delete the 2nd FIP Sanction and restore the Claimant's FIP benefits retroactive to October 1, 2013. The Claimant's treating physician's restrictions are to be sent to MRT for review and the Claimant should be deferred until the MRT decision.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

	acted in accordance with Department policy when it .
	did not act in accordance with Department policy when it .
\boxtimes	failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department
	policy when it sent the Claimant to a worksite that exceeded his Treating Physician's
	restrictions.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly	, the Department's decision is			
☐ AFFIRM ☐ REVER ☐ AFFIRM to		and REVERSED II	N PAR	T with respect
ACCOR HEARIN	PEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO RDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT P NG DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS ON AND ORDER:	OLICY AND CONSI	STEN	T WITH THIS
FIP send Clair 2. Prov eligil	ate a redetermination of the Claima benefits retroactive to October 1, 2 ding the Claimant's Treating Physic mant until a decision from MRT. Vide the Claimant with written notificability determination. The the Claimant any retroactive benefits the claimant any retroactive benefits the claimant any retroactive.	013 and ability to part ian restrictions to the cation of the Department	icipate MRT a ent's re	in PATH by and defer the evised
		Carmon	J.	Sahie
		Adm for Mau	Car inistrat ıra Cor	men G. Fahie ive Law Judge rigan, Director uman Services
Date Signe	d: <u>11/01/2013</u>	Departmen	it Of Tit	aman Services
Date Maile	d: <u>11/01/2013</u>			
NOTICE OF	ΔΡΡΕΔΙ · The claimant may appeal the	Decision and Order to Cir	cuit Cou	ırt within 30 daye

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;

2013-69651/CGF

- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
 of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/pw

