
STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	ITI	4F I	МΔ	ТТ	FR	OF:
		-			_ ,	U I.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201369588 3008 October 21, 2013 Wayne (19)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Cha	vez	
HEARING DEC	ISION	
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, the Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 9 notice, a telephone hearing was held on Octo Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department) included	9 and 400.37; 7 CF 19.33; and 45 CFR ber 21, 2013, fror Participa	R 273.15 to 273.18; 205.10. After due
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly deny Claimant's for:	s application 🔀 cl	ose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐		,
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fa	•	rial, and substantial
 Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ received: ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ benefits. 	SDA □CDC	□DSS □SSP
2. On 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ due to failure to complete a redetermination	losed Claimant's c	ase



Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.						
The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is established by 20 CFR 416.20012099 and the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10.						
Additionally, the Department failed to submit any documentary evidence when it submitted its hearing packet. As such, the Department has failed to meet its burden of proof in showing that the action taken was correct. While the Department representative testified credibly to a redetermination packet was mailed on for an interview, the Department did not provide any documentary evidence as to whether the packet in question was returned, whether the packet was properly addressed, or whether the case was properly closed. As such, the undersigned must rule that the Department has failed to meet it's burden of proof in showing that the action taken was correct.						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department						
acted in accordance with Department policy when it did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed claimant's FAP case.						
DECISION AND ORDER						
Accordingly, the Department's decision is						
☐ AFFIRMED. ☐ REVERSED. ☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to and REVERSED IN PART with respect to .						
▼ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:						
1. Reopen claimant's benefit case retroactive to the date of negative action and restart the redetermination process in question. Robert J. Chavez Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services						

Date Signed: 10/29/2013

Date Mailed: 10/29/2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/hw

cc: