STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-69500

Issue No.: 3000; 1000; 5000; 2018

Case No.:

Hearing Date: October 24, 2013
County: Macomb 36

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 24, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included a participant of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist (ES),

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly take action to deny the Claimant's application for FIP; to close the Claimant's MA case and to change the Claimant's monthly FAP allotment?

ORDER OF DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, 1999 AC, R 400.901 through Rule 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because a claim for assistance is denied or is not acted upon with reasonable promptness, and to any recipient who is aggrieved by a Department action resulting in suspension, reduction, discontinuance, or termination of assistance. Rule 400.903(1). A request for hearing shall be in writing and signed by the claimant, petitioner, or authorized representative. Rule 400.904(1).

The Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600, p. 4, provides in relevant part as follows:

The client or authorized hearing representative has 90 calendar days from the date of the written notice of case action to request a hearing. The request must be received anywhere in DHS within the 90 days.

4.

In the p	resent case, on April 26, 2013, the Department:
⊠ deni for:	ied Claimant's application for benefits
Food	nily Independence Program (FIP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). d Assistance Program (FAP). Child Development and Care (CDC). lical Assistance (MA). State Emergency Services (SER). It Medical Assistance (AMP).
Departr Relief (3 was that taken a unconte increase hearing Departr suffered	ptember 17, 2013, Claimant filed a request for a hearing concerning the ment's action. The Claimant also requested a hearing for State Emergency SER) and Food Assistance Program (FAP); however, the uncontested testimony at the Claimant never applied for SER and therefore the Department has not any action, much less negative action on an SER issue. Furthermore, the ested testimony and evidence in this case is that the Claimant's FAP allotment ed from per month to per month. Because Claimant's request for for FIP was not within ninety days of the disputed action taken by the ment, because the Claimant's FAP allotment increased her FAP case has d no negative action, and because the Claimant has not applied for SER, this for hearing on those issues must be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.
Based on the above discussion, it is ORDERED that this Request for Hearing regarding FAP, SER and FIP issues is DISMISSED.	
FINDINGS OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:	
	The Claimant was an ongoing recipient of MA/HK-P (healthy kids pregnant) as she reported a great on with a great with a great state.
	On May 14, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a DHS-1010, Redetermination with a due date of June 3, 2013.
A	On July 17, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action informing the Claimant that her MA case was closed as she is not did not meet age requirements, is not blind or disabled and she was also not a caretaker of minor

2

On September 17, 2013, the Department received the Claimant's written hearing request protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131. ☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858g; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020. The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049.

☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.	
☐ The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is established by 20 CFR 416.20012099 and the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10.	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's MA/HK-P case. did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to conduct an ex parte review considering the category of MA disability.	
DECISION AND ORDER	
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to the closure of her MA/HK-P case. and REVERSED IN PART with respect to the failure to conduct an exparte review considering the category of MA disability.	
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:	
 Re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for MA disability back to August 1, 2013, and 	
2. Issue the Claimant any supplement she may thereafter be due.	
/s/ Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director	
Department of Human Services Date Signed: 11/1/13	

Date Mailed: 11/1/13

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

CC:

