STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES	
Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-69005 1038 October 24, 2013 Ingham
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris	
HEARING DECISION	
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 24, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Specialist (FIS),	
Did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close Claimant's case for:	
Child Developme Direct Support Se	, ,
FINDINGS OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:	
□ MA □]AMP
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: rris ION matter is before and 400.37; 7 CF. 33; and 45 CFR. 2013, from a complete and

3. On September 3, 2013, the Department sent Claimant its decision.

non-compliance with employment related activities.

2.

On October 1, 2013, the Department \(\subseteq \text{closed Claimant's case due to} \)

4. On September 13, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131. ☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858g; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020. Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10 and

400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.

☐ The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is established by 20 CFR 416.2001-.2099 and the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10.

The uncontested facts in this case are that the Claimant had been excused from participating with employment-related activities based on her which did contain an end date. After the end date on her the Claimant was denied a deferral by the Department's Medical Review Team (MRT) which had determined that the Claimant was able to participate in employment related activities with some limitations. On August 15, 2013, the Claimant was sent a DHS-4785, PATH Appointment Notice setting an appointment for August 26, 2013 at 8:30 a.m. She was also sent a DHS-100, Quick Note from her FIS informing her that the MRT denied her deferral and that her participation in the PATH program was required as a condition of eligibility to receive her FIP benefits. The Claimant failed to attend her PATH appointment. The Claimant attended her triage appointment and presented a clinic note dated August 14, 2013, which stated that the Claimant was in pain and was being referred to a surgeon for a consult. The Department determined that she had no good cause for her failure to attend the PATH appointment. These facts were not contested during the hearing.

The Claimant testified that she was under the impression that she was still deferred because of her On , without approval from the Administrative Law Judge, the Claimant submitted documents establishing that one of her surgeries has been scheduled. These documents are not relevant to the Administrative Law Judge's determination as evidence of good cause is to be presented at the triage appointment. Furthermore, the documents were submitted after the record was closed and were therefore not considered. Lastly, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Claimant's impression that she continued to be deferred because of her back problems is completely unreasonable considering the documents the Department sent to her indicating otherwise. As it not contested that the Claimant was not deferred and did not attend her PATH appointment that fact is found. Administrative Law Judge concludes that when the Claimant attended the triage she presented papers indicating that she was going to have surgery; the Department properly concluded that the Claimant had no good cause for non-compliance.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A (2013) p. 6, provides that the penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP case closure. The Administrative Law Judge therefore concludes that when the Department took action to close the Claimant's FIP case, the Department was acting in accordance with its policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it took action to close the Claimant's case.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

/s/

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 11/1/13

Date Mailed: 11/1/13

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

2013-69005/SEH

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

