STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-68810 3008; 1005 Washtenaw
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. H	larris	
HEARING DECISION		
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, to Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400. 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 9 notice, a telephone hearing was held on Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Depart Worker and Family Independent	9 and 400.37; 7 CF 99.33; and 45 CFF , from . Part ment) included A	FR 273.15 to 273.18; R 205.10. After due n Lansing, Michigan. ticipants on behalf of
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant' for:	s application 🛚 cl	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)?☐ State SSI Payments (SSP)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material f		rial, and substantial
Claimant ☑ received: ☐ FIP ☑ FAP ☐ CDC ☐ DSS ☐ SSP benefits.	⊠ MA [AMP SDA
2. On to submit the required verification.	osed Claimant's ca	ase due to his failure

, the Department sent Claimant its decision.

4. On Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions. **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131. ☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858g; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020. Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.

	2 1382e. The Department administers the program
In this case, the Claimant testified,	, consistent with his hearing request, that he did
submit the verification of his	in and on
and he signed the	when he did as much. The Department workers
present at the hearing did not take	the action in the Claimant's case and they also
testified that they did not check the	for . The Department's
workers present at the hearing testific	
statement, but that statement was fro	om the month of and was therefore not within
the last 30 days. The DHS-3503, V	√erification Checklist in evidence asks for "Current
statement from bank or financial in	nstitution." It does not specifically state that the
statement must be as recent as the la	ast 30 days.

Additionally, Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (2012) p. 2, provides that the Department worker tell the Claimant what verification is required, how to obtain it and the due date by using a DHS-3503 Verification Checklist to request verification. In this case, the Department did that, though the worker could have been more specific by instructing the Claimant that the bank statement must be dated within the last 30 days. BAM 130 (2012) p. 5, provides that verifications are considered to be timely if received by the date they are due. It instructs Department workers to send a negative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide a verification, or when the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. In this case, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the time period to submit the verification had lapsed but the Claimant had made a reasonable effort to provide the verification. There is no evidence to suggest that the Claimant was refusing to provide the verification. There is no evidence to suggest that when the Claimant submitted his that the Claimant was told such statement was insufficient. Instead, the Department closed his case. As such, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department was not acting in accordance with policy when taking action to close the Claimant's case for failure to submit the required verification.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it took action to close the Claimant's case.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP back to and MA back to , and

2. Issue the Claimant any supplement he may thereafter be due.

/s/

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/18/13

Date Mailed: 10/21/13

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

2013-68810/SEH

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

