STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	ιт	ш	ΞΜ	IΛ	ТΤ	D	\mathbf{a}	С.
ш		п	= 17	м		•	u	Г.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-65840 3002 September 23, 2013 Macomb (50-20)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bei	nnane	
HEARING DECI	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on September Participants on behalf of Claimant included Clabertment of Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. 23, 2013, from imant. Participar	After due notice, a Detroit, Michigan.
ISSUE		
Due to a failure to comply with the verification properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☐ close benefits for:	· _	<u> </u>
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF F	ACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony		
1. Claimant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐F	FIP SFAP MA	□SDA □CDC.
2. Claimant was required to submit requested ve	rification by Augus	st 12, 2013.
 3. On May 1, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☑ reduced Claimant's benefits . 		

4.	On May 23, 2013, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. calculation of Claimant's benefits.
5.	On August 28, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. calculation of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
is fed De	e Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the deral regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The epartment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP resuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015
be pa	the hearing, Claimant testified that child support being paid to her mother on her half was not being given or forwarded to Claimant. Policy dictates that such yments for an adult child are income to the payee, in this case Claimant's mother, not aimant. BEM 503 (May 2013).
stu for BA	addition, the Department finds Claimant's documentation of three weeks of paycheck ubs insufficient. The Department cites the need for 30 days of income documentation prospective budgeting as stated in BEM 505. However, this standard is modified by MM 130, which states that the Department should use the "best information available" he claimant is unable to provide verifications despite "a reasonable effort."
for pro	is Administrative Law Judge finds that providing the Department with paycheck stubs three weeks, or 18 days, shows a reasonable effort on the part of Claimant and ovides the Department with an adequate basis upon which it can make an income termination. BAM 130 (May 2012).
	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
	closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. calculated Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

 Initiate recalculation of Claimant's FAP benefits back to May 1, 2013, utilizing the documentation provided by Claimant; and supplement for any missed benefits, if appropriate.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 17, 2013

Date Mailed: October 17, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

cc: