STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN | TH | IF I | МΔ | TTE | =R | OF: |
|----|----|------|----|-----|-------|-------------|
| | | _ | | | _ 1 \ | U I. |

| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 2013-65650 1038 October 2, 2013 Wayne (82-41) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. I | · | Wayne (62 41) | | | | |
| HEARING DE | <u>ECISION</u> | | | | | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a selephone hearing was held on October 2, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included | | | | | | |
| <u>ISSUE</u> | | | | | | |
| Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for: | | | | | | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? | | sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? | | | | |
| FINDINGS OF FACT | | | | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact: | | | | | | |
| Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for: | | | | | | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA). | State Disability A | ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC). | | | | |

| On September 1, 2013, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3. On August 15, 2013, the Department sent ⊠ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ⊠ closure. | | | | | | |
| On August 26, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. | | | | | | |
| CONCLUSIONS OF LAW | | | | | | |
| Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). | | | | | | |
| The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, <i>et seq.</i> The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. | | | | | | |
| At the hearing, the Department testified that after Claimant was not compliant with PATH/JET, she was reassigned to the PATH program and failed to attend the first PATH appointment on August 15, 2013. | | | | | | |
| A triage was held and no good cause was found. BEM 233A (July 1, 2013). | | | | | | |
| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department | | | | | | |
| ☑ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐ improperly denied Claimant's application ☐ improperly closed Claimant's case | | | | | | |
| for: | | | | | | |
| DECISION AND ORDER | | | | | | |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly. | | | | | | |

| Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decisions AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. |
|--|
| Michael J. Bennane |

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 17, 2013

Date Mailed: October 17, 2013

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

2013-65650/MJB

