

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

██████████  
████████████████████  
████████████████████

Reg. No.: 2013-64839  
Issue No.: 1080  
Case No.: ██████████  
Hearing Date: October 16, 2013  
County: Wayne (57)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Zainab Baydoun

**HEARING DECISION**

Upon Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37, and Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), particularly 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 16, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department included ██████████, Family Independence Manager and ██████████, Assistance Payment Worker.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly determine that Claimant exceeded the 60-month federal lifetime limit on Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits and was not eligible for an exception?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant  received  applied for FIP benefits.
2. On June 20, 2013, the Department notified Claimant that  
 the FIP case would close  
 the FIP application was denied  
because Claimant had exceeded the 60-month federal lifetime limit on receipt of FIP assistance.
3. On August 13, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a request for hearing, disputing the Department's action.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131. Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FIP benefit program is not an entitlement. BEM 234 (July 2013), p. 1. Under the federal FIP time limit, individuals are not eligible for continued FIP benefits once they receive a cumulative total of 60 months of FIP benefits unless they are eligible for an exception to the federal time limit. An exception exists for individuals who were, as of January 9, 2013, (1) approved/active for FIP benefits **and** (2) exempt from participation in the Partnership. Accountability.Training.Hope. (PATH) program for domestic violence, establishing incapacity, incapacitated more than 90 days, age 65 or older, or caring for a spouse or child with disabilities. BEM 234, p. 2; MCL 400.57a(4). The exception continues as long as the individual remains eligible for any of the foregoing employment deferral reasons. BEM 234, p. 2. The federal limit count begins October 1996. BEM 234, p. 1.

In this case, the Department provided a Federal TANF Time Limit showing each of the countable months Claimant received FIP benefits. (Exhibit 1) The Departments testified that it relied on this list to establish that 76 countable months of FIP benefits were issued to Claimant between February 1998 and August 2011. (Exhibit 1). Claimant disputed this testimony and stated that she did not receive any FIP benefits for the years of 2002 and 2008. Claimant stated that during that time, she was receiving child support for the care of her children and that she did not receive both FIP benefits and child support at the same time.

In response, the Department produced benefit summary inquiries for the years 2002 and 2008 which establish that the Department had issued FIP benefits in varying amounts during the time period disputed by Claimant. (Exhibit 5). This evidence is sufficient to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that FIP benefits were issued to Claimant for the years 2002 and 2008. Additionally, the Department testified and Claimant confirmed that she did not have an active FIP case and was not receiving FIP benefits on January 9, 2013; therefore, Claimant does not qualify for an exception to the federal time limit, as she indicated the last time she received FIP benefits was in 2011. BEM 234, p. 2; MCL 400.57a(4).

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FIP eligibility for exceeding the federal time limit on receipt of FIP benefits.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's FIP eligibility decision is AFFIRMED.



---

**Zainab Baydoun**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: October 21, 2013

Date Mailed: October 22, 2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

2013-64839/ZB

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm

cc: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]