## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-60616 3002 August 27, 2013 SSPC-WEST
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E.	Harris	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's requestelephone hearing was held on A ugust 27, 201 on behalf of Claimant inclu ded her daughter/Auparticipants on behalf of Departicipants on	st for a hearing. Afte l3, from Lansing, Mi uthorized Hear ing R irtment of Human Se	r due notice, a chigan. Participant s epresentative (AHR)
ISSUE		
Did the Departm ent properly $\ igsim$ deny Claiman for:	t's application 🔲 cl	ose Claimant's case
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material		al, and substantial
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received	benefits for:	
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).
2. On July 15, 2013 , the Department ☑ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed due to the Claimant having excess income to	sed Claimant's case o be eligible for FAP	

## 2013-60616/SEH

3.	On July 15, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On July 24, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im <sub> </sub> Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence plency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence pency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400. 3151 through Rule 0.3180.
an 19 Th an	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 d 99. The Depart ment provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 0.14(1) and 1999 AC. R 400 5001 through Rule 400 5015

## 2013-60616/SEH

During the hearing, the Claima nt's AHR did not contest the amount of income the Department counted in the FAP budget. The AH R testified that she did not understand that some expenses, like car payment and car insurance, could not be counted in the FAP budget. Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 554 (2012) enumerates FAP budget allowable expenses and instructs Departm ent workers with budgeting thos e expenses. It does not provide that car pay ments and car insurance are allowable expenses. The FAP budget reveals that the Claimant was allotted a shell ter deduction and medical expenses, yet the Claimant remained over the net income limit to be eligible for FAP.

The Claimant's monthly income after allowable expenses was properly determined to be FAP incom e limits ar e set by the Department's Re ference Tables at (RFT) 250 (2012), which provides that the income limit for a group size of one is \$ Therefore, when the Departm ent determined that the Claim ant's application be denied because she had excess income to be eligible for FAP, the Department was acting in accordance with its policy. Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the D epartment properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claim ant's case for:  $\urcorner$  AMP  $\sqcap$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\sqcap$  MA  $\sqcap$  SDA  $\sqcap$  CDC. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department  $\boxtimes$  did act properly.  $\square$  did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED. /s/ Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director

Date Signed: August 29, 2013

Date Mailed: August 29, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

Department of Human Services

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SEH/tb

CC:

